

# Coercive Inequalities on Metric Measure Spaces. <sup>1</sup>

W. Hebisch

Institute of Mathematics

University of Wrocław

Wrocław, Poland

B. Zegarliński

Department of Mathematics

Imperial College

London, UK

<sup>1</sup>Supported by EPSRC EP/D05379X/1 & Royal Society. The first author was partially supported by KBN grant 1 P03A 03029

# 1 Introduction

In this paper we study coercive inequalities on finite dimensional metric spaces with probability measures which do not have volume doubling property. This class of inequalities includes the well known Poincaré inequality

$$M\mu|f - \mu f|^q \leq \mu|\nabla f|^q$$

with some constants  $M \in (0, \infty)$ ,  $q \in (1, \infty)$  independent a function  $f$  for which the (metric) length of the gradient  $|\nabla f|$  is well defined, as well as a variety of stronger coercive inequalities with the variance on the left hand side replaced by a functional with a stronger growth, as for example in case of celebrated Log - Sobolev inequality which is of the following form

$$\mu f^2 \log \frac{f^2}{\mu f^2} \leq c\mu|\nabla f|^2$$

with some constant  $c \in (0, \infty)$  independent of a function  $f$ .

We are interested in probability measures on noncompact spaces, like for example the finite products of real lines  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , but also certain noncompact groups as for example the Heisenberg group.

For probability measures on the real line the necessary and sufficient condition for Poincaré inequality characterising the density (of the absolutely continuous part with respect to the Lebesgue measure) were established long time ago by Muckenphout, [36], ([34]). More recently such criteria were established for other coercive inequalities (Log-Sobolev type: (LS<sub>2</sub>) [7], (LS<sub>q</sub>) [10], for distributions with weaker tails [5],...). In multidimensional case the situation is rather different and more intricate. First of all, since the inequalities of interest to us have a natural tensorisation property, there is a number of perturbative techniques which allow to obtain classes of interesting examples in higher and even in infinite dimensions (see e.g. [22], [10], [44], [33],..., [12],[48],... and references given there). We would like to mention a work [38] in which the coercive inequalities for probability measures on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $n \geq 3$ , with variety of decay of the tails (slower as well as faster than the Gaussian) were systematically studied with the help of classical Sobolev inequalities providing in particular an effective sufficient criteria, (in terms of certain nonlinear differential inequalities for the log of the density function), for related coercive inequalities, (see also reviews [41], [20] and references therein). In the mid 80'ties Bakry and Emery, [4], introduced a very effective criterion based on convexity (curvature) which allowed to enlarge a class of examples where Log-Sobolev inequality holds, including situation with measures on certain finite dimensional Riemannian manifolds; (as well as

some infinite dimensional cases however with a compact configuration space [15]). Following similar line of reasoning, in [3] the authors provided an effective criteria for (generalisation) of Brascamp-Lieb inequality as well as Log-Sobolev inequality (with possibly more general entropy functional and weighted Dirichlet form dependent on the measure).

More recently, in [8], certain convexity ideas, (including Brunn-Minkowski inequality), were exploited to recover in the special case of the space  $\mathbb{R}^n$  similar results as in [3] and obtained additionally inequalities  $(LS_q)$  which are naturally related to different than Euclidean metrics (in particular involving different length of the gradient on the right hand side). These result concerned principally the probability measures with tails decaying faster than the Gaussian. We point out that while such distribution were also discussed in [38], in [8] they involved in a natural way Lipschitz functions with respect to a non-Euclidean metric (while in Rosen's work the emphasis of improvement was on different functionals on the left hand side). The corresponding results for measures on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with slower distribution tails were obtained in [5] (see also references therein), which included in particular those of Rosen, [38], for the similar class of measures.

Part of the motivation for the current paper was provided by [33] in which the coercive inequalities involving Hörmander fields instead of the (nondegenerate full gradient) were studied. Such the situation is naturally related to a more general Carnot-Carathéodory metric associated to the family of fields and the interest here is to obtain coercive inequalities involving length of the corresponding metric gradient. While in [33] a rich family of examples on compact spaces was provided, the noncompact situation was more difficult. In this paper we develop an efficient technology which not only recovers interesting results in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  briefly reviewed in the above, but also allows us to extend to interesting metric spaces as certain noncompact Lie groups including in particular the Heisenberg group. Part of our approach is directed on proving inequalities, which we call U-bounds, of the following form

$$\int |f|^q U d\mu \leq C \int |\nabla f|^q d\mu + D \int |f|^q d\mu$$

with a suitable increasing unbounded function  $U$  of the metric and the length of the metric gradient  $|\nabla f|$ ; see Section 2. We show later in Section 3 and 4 that such inequality implies corresponding Poincaré as well as suitable coercive inequalities; in fact as we illustrate in some of the cases the U-bounds are equivalent with the coercive inequalities. (This requires an extension of result of on a Gaussian exponential bound of [1] for other measures and functions with possibly unbounded gradient.)

In Section 5 we explore also a family of weighted Poincaré and Log-Sobolev

inequalities on Riemannian manifolds including measures with ultra slow tails. In such the context we can effectively employ Laplacian comparison theorem (see e.g. [11]) which in particular allows us to extend recent results of [9] where convexity ideas in Euclidean spaces were used.

As an application of our technique we also prove (see Section 6-7) the Log-Sobolev inequality for the heat kernel measure on the Heisenberg group, (a topic which attracted recently some extra attention [32], [17]).

## 2 U - Bounds.

By  $\nabla$  we denote a subgradient in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ , that is a finite collection of possibly noncommuting fields. It is assumed that the divergence of each of these fields with respect to the Lebesgue measure  $\Lambda$  on  $\mathbb{R}^N$  is zero. (While this provides some simplification in our expositions, it is possible to extend our arguments to a more general setting.)

We begin with proving the following result.

**Theorem 2.1** *Let  $d\mu_p = \frac{e^{-\beta d^p}}{Z} d\lambda$  be a probability measure defined with  $\beta \in (0, \infty)$  and  $p \in (1, \infty)$ , ( $Z$  being the normalisation constant). Suppose  $0 < \frac{1}{\sigma} \leq |\nabla d| \leq 1$ , for some  $\sigma \in [1, \infty)$ , and  $\Delta d \leq K + \beta p \varepsilon d^{p-1}$  outside the unit ball  $B \equiv \{d(x) < 1\}$  for some  $K \in [0, \infty)$  and  $\varepsilon \in [0, \frac{1}{\sigma^2})$ . Then there exist constants  $C, D \in (0, \infty)$  such that the following bound is true.*

$$\int |f| d^{p-1} d\mu_p \leq C \int |\nabla f| d\mu_p + D \int |f| d\mu_p \quad (1)$$

**Remark:** In particular the assumptions of the theorem are satisfied for  $d$  being the Carnot-Caratheodory distance and  $\nabla$  the (horizontal) gradient of the Heisenberg group.

*Proof:* For a smooth function  $f \geq 0$  such that  $f = 0$  on the unit ball, by the Leibniz rule we have

$$(\nabla f)e^{-\beta d^p} = \nabla (f e^{-\beta d^p}) + \beta p f (d^{p-1} \nabla d) e^{-\beta d^p}. \quad (2)$$

Put

$$\alpha(\cdot) \equiv \int (\nabla d)(\cdot) d\lambda.$$

Acting with this functional on the expression (2) we get

$$\alpha((\nabla f)e^{-\beta d^p}) = \alpha(\nabla (f e^{-\beta d^p})) + \beta p \int f d^{p-1} |\nabla d|^2 e^{-\beta d^p} d\lambda \quad (3)$$

Using Hölder inequality, the left hand side of (3) can be estimated from above as follows

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha((\nabla f)e^{-\beta d^p}) &= \int (\nabla d) \cdot (\nabla f)e^{-\beta d^p} d\lambda \\ &\leq \int |\nabla d| |\nabla f| e^{-\beta d^p} d\lambda \leq \int |\nabla f| e^{-\beta d^p} d\lambda\end{aligned}\quad (4)$$

where we have used the fact that  $|\nabla d| \leq 1$ . The first term on the right hand side of (3) can be treated with the help of integration by parts as follows

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha(\nabla(fe^{-\beta d^p})) &= \int (\nabla d) \cdot \nabla(fe^{-\beta d^p}) d\lambda \\ &= - \int (\Delta d) f e^{-\beta d^p} d\lambda \geq -K \int f e^{-\beta d^p} d\lambda - \beta p \varepsilon \int f d^{p-1} e^{-\beta d^p} d\lambda\end{aligned}\quad (5)$$

where we have used the assumption that  $\Delta d \leq K + \beta p \varepsilon d^{p-1}$ . Combining (3), (4) and (5), we get

$$\beta p \int f d^{p-1} (|\nabla d|^2 - \varepsilon) e^{-\beta d^p} d\lambda \leq \int |\nabla f| e^{-\beta d^p} d\lambda + K \int f e^{-\beta d^p} d\lambda$$

from which the inequality (1) follows with  $C = \frac{1}{(1/\sigma^2 - \varepsilon)\beta p}$  and  $D = \frac{K}{(1/\sigma^2 - \varepsilon)\beta p}$ , provided  $\varepsilon \in [0, \frac{1}{\sigma^2})$ .

Now, the estimate (1) is proven for smooth nonnegative  $f$  which vanish on the unit ball. We can handle non-smooth functions approximating them by smooth ones (on compact sets via convolution and splitting  $f$  into compactly supported pieces using a smooth partition of unity – details are tedious but do not pose any essential difficulty).

We can handle  $f$  of arbitrary sign replacing  $f$  by  $|f|$  and using equality  $\nabla|f| = \text{sgn}(f)\nabla f$ .

To handle  $f$  which are non-zero on the unit ball we write  $f = f_0 + f_1$  where  $f_0 = \phi f$ ,  $f_1 = (1 - \phi)f$  and  $\phi(x) = \min(1, \max(2 - d(x), 0))$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}\int |f| d^{p-1} d\mu_p &= \int_{d(x) \leq 2} |f| d^{p-1} d\mu_p + \int_{d(x) > 2} |f| d^{p-1} d\mu_p \\ &\leq 2^{p-1} \int_{d(x) \leq 2} |f| d\mu_p + \int_{d(x) > 2} |f|_1 d^{p-1} d\mu_p \\ &\leq 2^{p-1} \int |f| d\mu_p + \int |f|_1 d^{p-1} d\mu_p.\end{aligned}$$

Next

$$|\nabla f_1| \leq |\nabla f| + |f|,$$

$$\begin{aligned} \int |f_1| d^{p-1} d\mu_p &\leq C \int |\nabla f_1| d\mu_p + D \int |f_1| d\mu_p \\ &\leq C \int |\nabla f| d\mu_p + (D + C) \int |f| d\mu_p \end{aligned}$$

Combining inequalities above we see that (1) is valid without restriction on the support of  $f$  if we replace  $D$  by  $D + 2^{p-1} + C$ .  $\square$

Using our result and a perturbation technique we obtain the following generalisation.

**Theorem 2.2** *Let  $d\mu = \frac{e^{-W-V}}{Z'} d\mu_\theta$  be a probability measure defined with a differentiable potential  $W$  satisfying*

$$|\nabla W| \leq \delta d^{p-1} + \gamma_\delta \tag{6}$$

*with some constants  $\delta < 1/C$  and  $\gamma_\delta \in (0, \infty)$ , and suppose that  $V$  is a measurable function such that  $\text{osc}(V) \equiv \max V - \min V < \infty$ . Then there exist constants  $C', D' \in (0, \infty)$  such that the following bound is true.*

$$\int |f| d^{p-1} d\mu \leq C' \int |\nabla f| d\mu + D' \int |f| d\mu \tag{7}$$

**Remark:** In particular the assumption (6) of the theorem is satisfied if  $W$  is a polynomial of lower order in  $d$ . Another example, in the spirit of [18] and [10], with deep wells is as follows

$$W = \vartheta d^{p-1} \cos(d)$$

with a small constant  $\vartheta > 0$ , (but  $\vartheta d^{p-1} \cos(d^{1+\varepsilon})$  would not work for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  no matter how small  $\vartheta > 0$  would be).

*Proof:* We consider first the case  $V = 0$  and start from substituting  $f e^{-W}$  in the inequality (1) for the measure  $\mu_p$ . Using Leibniz rule

$$\begin{aligned} \int |f| d^{p-1} e^{-W} d\mu_p &\leq C \int |\nabla f| e^{-W} d\mu_p + D \int |f| e^{-W} d\mu_p \\ &\quad + C \int |f| |\nabla W| e^{-W} d\mu_p \end{aligned}$$

Now our assumption (6) about  $W$ , implies

$$\int |f| |\nabla W| e^{-W} d\mu_p \leq \delta \int |f| d^{p-1} e^{-W} d\mu_p + \gamma_\delta \int |f| e^{-W} d\mu_p$$

Thus combining these bounds we arrive at

$$\int |f| d^{p-1} e^{-W} d\mu_p \leq \bar{C} \int |\nabla f| e^{-W} d\mu_p + \bar{D} \int |f| e^{-W} d\mu_p$$

with

$$\bar{C} \equiv C/(1 - C\delta) \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{D} = (D + \gamma_\delta)/(1 - C\delta)$$

Next we note that if  $V \neq 0$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int |f| d^{p-1} \frac{e^{-W-V}}{Z'} d\mu_p &\leq e^{\text{osc}(V)} \int |f| d^{p-1} \frac{e^{-W}}{\int e^{-W} d\mu_p} d\mu_p \\ &\leq e^{\text{osc}(V)} \bar{C} \int |\nabla f| \frac{e^{-W}}{\int e^{-W} d\mu_p} d\mu_p + e^{\text{osc}(V)} \bar{D} \int |f| \frac{e^{-W}}{\int e^{-W} d\mu_p} d\mu_p \\ &\leq e^{2\text{osc}(V)} \bar{C} \int |\nabla f| \frac{e^{-W-V}}{Z'} d\mu_p + e^{2\text{osc}(V)} \bar{D} \int |f| \frac{e^{-W-V}}{Z'} d\mu_p \end{aligned}$$

□

**Theorem 2.3** *Let  $\mu$  be a probability measure for which conclusion of Theorem 2.1 holds. Let  $p \in (1, \infty)$ . Then for each  $q \in [1, \infty)$  there exist constants  $C_q, D_q \in (0, \infty)$  such that the following bound is true.*

$$\int |f|^q d^{q(p-1)} d\mu \leq C_q \int |\nabla f|^q d\mu + D_q \int |f|^q d\mu. \quad (8)$$

*Proof:* Let  $d_1(x) = \max(1, d(x))$ . Enlarging constants  $D$  if necessary we may assume that

$$\int |f| d_1^{p-1} d\mu \leq C \int |\nabla f| d\mu + D \int |f| d\mu.$$

Put  $h = |f|^q d_1^{(p-1)(q-1)}$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} \int |f|^q d^{q(p-1)} d\mu &\leq \int |f|^q d_1^{q(p-1)} d\mu = \int h d_1^{p-1} d\mu \\ &\leq C \int |\nabla h| d\mu + D \int h d\mu. \end{aligned}$$

By Leibniz formula

$$|\nabla h| = q |\nabla f| |f|^{(q-1)} d_1^{(q-1)(p-1)} + (q-1)(p-1) |\nabla d_1| |f|^q d_1^{(q-1)(p-1)-1}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int q|\nabla f||f|^{(q-1)}d_1^{(q-1)(p-1)}d\mu \\
& \leq q\left(\int|\nabla f|^qd\mu\right)^{1/q}\left(\int(|f|^{q-1}d_1^{(q-1)(p-1)})^{q/(q-1)}d\mu\right)^{(q-1)/q} \\
& \leq \alpha^q\int|\nabla f|^qd\mu+\frac{q-1}{\alpha^{q/(q-1)}}\int|f|^qd_1^{q(p-1)}d\mu.
\end{aligned}$$

Next

$$\begin{aligned}
\int h d\mu &= \int |f|^q d_1^{(q-1)(p-1)} d\mu \leq \left(\int |f|^q d\mu\right)^{1/q} \left(\int |f|^q d_1^{q(p-1)} d\mu\right)^{(q-1)/q} \\
&\leq \frac{\beta^q}{q} \int |f|^q d\mu + \frac{q-1}{\beta^{q/(q-1)}q} \int |f|^q d_1^{q(p-1)} d\mu.
\end{aligned}$$

If  $(q-1)(p-1) \leq 1$ , then

$$\int (q-1)(p-1)|\nabla d_1||f|^q d_1^{(q-1)(p-1)-1} d\mu \leq \int |f|^q d\mu.$$

If  $(q-1)(p-1) > 1$ , then

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int (q-1)(p-1)|\nabla d_1||f|^q d_1^{(q-1)(p-1)-1} d\mu \leq (q-1)(p-1) \int |f|^q d_1^{(q-1)(p-1)-1} d\mu \\
& \leq (q-1)(p-1) \left(\int |f|^q d\mu\right)^{p/(q(p-1))} \left(\int |f|^q d_1^{q(p-1)} d\mu\right)^{((p-1)(q-1)-1)/(q(p-1))} \\
& \leq \frac{(q-1)p}{q} \gamma^{q(p-1)/p} \int |f|^q d\mu + \frac{(q-1)^2(p-1)-(q-1)}{q\gamma^{q(p-1)/((q-1)(p-1)-1)}} \int |f|^q d_1^{q(p-1)} d\mu
\end{aligned}$$

Combining inequalities above, if  $(q-1)(p-1) \leq 1$  we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left(1 - C\frac{q-1}{\alpha^{q/(q-1)}} - D\frac{q-1}{\beta^{q/(q-1)}q}\right) \int |f|^q d_1^{q(p-1)} d\mu \\
& \leq C\alpha^q \int |\nabla f|^q d\mu + \left(C + D\frac{\beta^q}{q}\right) \int |f|^q d\mu
\end{aligned}$$

which gives the claim with

$$C_q = \frac{C\alpha^q}{1 - C\frac{q-1}{\alpha^{q/(q-1)}} - D\frac{q-1}{\beta^{q/(q-1)}q}}$$



and

$$D_q = \frac{C + D \frac{\beta^q}{q}}{1 - C \frac{q-1}{\alpha^{q/(q-1)}} - D \frac{q-1}{\beta^{q/(q-1)} q}}$$

if  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are big enough. Similarly, for  $(q-1)(p-1) > 1$  we get the claim with

$$C_q = \frac{C \alpha^q}{1 - C \frac{q-1}{\alpha^{q/(q-1)}} - C \frac{(q-1)^2(p-1)-(q-1)}{q \gamma^{q(p-1)/((q-1)(p-1)-1)}} - D \frac{q-1}{\beta^{q/(q-1)} q}}$$

and

$$D_q = \frac{C p \gamma^{q(p-1)/p} + D \frac{\beta^q}{q}}{1 - C \frac{q-1}{\alpha^{q/(q-1)}} - C \frac{(q-1)^2(p-1)-(q-1)}{q \gamma^{q(p-1)/((q-1)(p-1)-1)}} - D \frac{q-1}{\beta^{q/(q-1)} q}}$$

if  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$  and  $\gamma$  are big enough.  $\square$

**Theorem 2.4** *Let  $d\mu_p = \frac{e^{-\beta d^p}}{Z} d\lambda$  be a probability measure defined with  $\beta \in (0, \infty)$  and  $p \in [2, \infty)$ , ( $Z$  being the normalisation constant). Suppose  $0 < \frac{1}{\sigma} \leq |\nabla d| \leq 1$ , for some  $\sigma \in [1, \infty)$ , and  $\Delta d \leq K + \beta p \varepsilon d^{p-1}$  outside the unit ball  $B \equiv \{d(x) < 1\}$  for some  $K \in [0, \infty)$  and  $\varepsilon \in [0, \frac{1}{\sigma^2})$ . Suppose  $\frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{p} = 1$ , then we have*

$$\int |f|^q d^p d\mu \leq C_q \int |\nabla f|^q d\mu + D_q \int |f|^q d\mu \quad (9)$$

**Remark:** In particular the assumptions of the theorem are satisfied for  $d$  being the Carnot-Carathéodory distance and  $\nabla$  the (horizontal) gradient of the Heisenberg group.

*Proof:* This is a special case of Theorem 2.3  $\square$

Extension to more general measures is as follows.

**Theorem 2.5** *Let  $d\mu = \frac{e^{-W-V}}{Z'} d\mu_p$  be a probability measure defined with a differentiable potential  $W$  satisfying*

$$|\nabla W|^q \leq \delta d^p + \gamma_\delta \quad (10)$$

*with some constants  $\delta 2^{q-1} q^{-q} C < 1$  and  $\gamma_\delta \in (0, \infty)$ , and suppose that  $V$  is a measurable function such that  $\text{osc}(V) \equiv \max V - \min V < \infty$ . Then there exist constants  $C', D' \in (0, \infty)$  such that the following bound is true.*

$$\int |f|^q d^p d\mu \leq C' \int |\nabla f|^q d\mu + D' \int |f|^q d\mu \quad (11)$$

*with  $q$  such that  $\frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{p} = 1$ .*

The proof is similar to that of Theorem 2.2

## 2.1 U - Bounds: Sub-Quadratic Case.

**Theorem 2.6** *Let  $d\mu_\theta = \frac{e^{-\beta d^\theta}}{Z} d\lambda$  be a probability measure defined with  $\beta \in (0, \infty)$  and  $\theta \in [1, 2)$ , ( $Z$  being a normalisation constant). Suppose  $0 < \frac{1}{\sigma} \leq |\nabla d| \leq 1$ , for some  $\sigma \in [1, \infty)$ , and  $\Delta d \leq K + \beta p \varepsilon d^{p-1}$  outside the unit ball  $B \equiv \{d(x) < 1\}$  for some  $K \in [0, \infty)$  and  $\varepsilon \in [0, \frac{1}{\sigma^2})$ . Then there exist constants  $C_\theta, D_\theta \in (0, \infty)$  such that the following bound is true*

$$\int |f|^2 d^{2(\theta-1)} d\mu_\theta \leq C_\theta \int |\nabla f|^2 d\mu_\theta + D_\theta \int |f|^2 d\mu_\theta \quad (12)$$

**Remark:** In particular the assumptions of the theorem are satisfied for  $d$  being the Carnot-Carathéodory distance and  $\nabla$  the (horizontal) gradient of the Heisenberg group.

*Proof:* Again, this is a special case of Theorem 2.3 □

Extension to more general measures is as follows.

**Theorem 2.7** *Let  $d\mu = \frac{e^{-W-V}}{Z'} d\mu_\theta$  be a probability measure defined with a differentiable potential  $W$  satisfying*

$$|\nabla W|^2 \leq \delta d^{2(\theta-1)} + \gamma_\delta \quad (13)$$

*with some constants  $\delta C/2 < 1$  and  $\gamma_\delta \in (0, \infty)$ , and suppose that  $V$  is a measurable function such that  $\text{osc}(V) \equiv \max V - \min V < \infty$ . Then there exist constants  $C', D' \in (0, \infty)$  such that the following bound is true.*

$$\int |f|^2 d^\theta d\mu \leq C' \int |\nabla f|^2 d\mu + D' \int |f|^2 d\mu \quad (14)$$

Again, the proof is similar to that of Theorem 2.2

## 3 Poincaré inequality.

**Theorem 3.1** *Suppose  $1 \leq q < \infty$  and a measure  $\lambda$  satisfies the  $q$ -Poincaré inequality for every ball  $B_R$ , that is there exists a constant  $c_R \in (0, \infty)$  such that*

$$\frac{1}{|B_R|} \int_{B_R} \left| f - \frac{1}{|B_R|} \int_{B_R} f \right|^q d\lambda \leq c_R \frac{1}{|B_R|} \int_{B_R} |\nabla f|^q d\lambda \quad (15)$$

Let  $\mu$  be a probability measure on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  which is absolutely continuous with respect to the measure  $\lambda$  and such that

$$\int f^q \eta d\mu \leq C \int |\nabla f|^q d\mu + D \int f^q d\mu \quad (16)$$

with some nonnegative function  $\eta$  and some constants  $C, D \in (0, \infty)$  independent of a function  $f$ . If for any  $L \in (0, \infty)$  there is a constant  $A_L$  such that

$$\frac{1}{A_L} \leq \frac{d\mu}{d\lambda} \leq A_L \quad (17)$$

on the set  $\{\eta < L\}$  and, for some  $R \in (0, \infty)$  (depending on  $L$ ), we have  $\{\eta < L\} \subset B_R$ , then  $\mu$  satisfies the  $q$ -Poincaré inequality

$$\mu |f - \mu f|^q \leq c\mu |\nabla f|^q \quad (18)$$

*Proof:* For any  $a$  we have

$$\mu |f - \mu f|^q \leq 2^q \mu |f - a|^q. \quad (19)$$

Next

$$\mu |f - a|^q \leq \mu |f - a|^q \chi(\eta < L) + \mu |f - a|^q \chi(\eta \geq L) \quad (20)$$

Using our assumptions and putting  $a = \frac{1}{|B_R|} \int_{B_R} f$ , for the first term on the right hand side of (20) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mu |f - a|^q \chi(\eta < L) &\leq A_L \int_{B_R} \left| f - \frac{1}{|B_R|} \int_{B_R} f \right|^q d\lambda \\ &\leq A_L c_R \int_{B_R} |\nabla f|^q d\lambda \leq A_L^2 c_R \mu |\nabla f|^q \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

On the other hand for the second term on the right hand side of (20) we get

$$\mu |f - a|^q \chi(\eta \geq L) \leq \frac{1}{L} \mu |f - a|^q \eta \quad (22)$$

Hence, by (16), we obtain

$$\mu |f - a|^q \chi(\eta \geq L) \leq \frac{C}{L} \mu |\nabla f|^q + \frac{D}{L} \mu |f - a|^q \quad (23)$$

Combining (21) and (23), we get

$$\mu |f - a|^q \leq \left[ A_L^2 c_R + \frac{C}{L} \right] \mu |\nabla f|^2 + \frac{D}{L} \mu |f - a|^q$$

Choosing  $L > D$ , simple rearrangement yields

$$\mu |f - a|^q \leq \frac{A_L^2 c_R + \frac{C}{L}}{1 - \frac{D}{L}} \mu |\nabla f|^q$$

This together with (19) - (21) yields

$$\mu |f - \mu f|^q \leq c \mu |\nabla f|^q$$

with some constant  $c \in (0, \infty)$ . □

**Corollary 3.1** *If we are on nilpotent Lie group the probability measure  $\mu_q$  and  $\mu_\theta$  of Theorem 2.5 and 2.7, respectively, satisfies the Poincaré inequality.*

## 4 From Sobolev Inequalities to Coercive Inequalities with Probability Measure: The non-compact setting.

### 4.1 Case $p \geq 2$ .

**Theorem 4.1** *Let  $d\mu = \frac{e^{-U}}{Z} d\lambda$ . Suppose the following Sobolev inequality is satisfied*

$$\left( \int |f|^{q+\varepsilon} d\lambda \right)^{\frac{q}{q+\varepsilon}} \leq a \int |\nabla f|^q d\lambda + b \int |f|^q d\lambda \quad (24)$$

and the following bound is true

$$\mu (|f|^q [|\nabla U|^q + U]) \leq \bar{C} \mu |\nabla f|^q + \bar{D} \mu |f|^q \quad (25)$$

Then the following inequality is true

$$\mu \left( f^q \log \frac{f^q}{\mu f^q} \right) \leq C \mu |\nabla f|^q + D \mu |f|^q \quad (26)$$

Moreover, if  $q \in (1, 2]$  and the following  $q$ -Poincaré inequality holds

$$\mu|f - \mu f|^q \leq \frac{1}{M}\mu|\nabla f|^q \quad (27)$$

then one has

$$\mu\left(f^q \log \frac{f^q}{\mu f^q}\right) \leq c\mu|\nabla f|^q \quad (28)$$

with some constant  $c \in (0, \infty)$  independent of  $f$ .

*Proof:* First we note that for  $f \not\equiv 0$ , we have

$$\mu\left(f^q \log \frac{f^q}{\mu f^q}\right) = \mu(f^q) \int g^q \log g^q d\lambda + \mu(f^q[U + \log Z])$$

with  $g \equiv f \cdot \frac{e^{-\frac{1}{q}U}}{Z^{\frac{1}{q}}}$  satisfying  $\int g^q d\lambda = 1$ . Next, by arguments based on Jensen inequality, one gets

$$\int g^q \log g^q d\lambda = \frac{q}{\varepsilon} \int g^q \log g^\varepsilon d\lambda \leq \frac{q(q+\varepsilon)}{\varepsilon} \log\left(\int g^{q+\varepsilon} d\lambda\right)^{\frac{1}{q+\varepsilon}}$$

whence, by the Sobolev inequality (24), one obtains

$$\int g^q \log g^q d\lambda \leq \frac{q+\varepsilon}{\varepsilon} \log\left(\int g^{q+\varepsilon} d\lambda\right)^{\frac{q}{q+\varepsilon}} \leq a' \int |\nabla g|^q d\lambda + b' \int g^q d\lambda$$

with  $a' \equiv \frac{q+\varepsilon}{\varepsilon}a$  and  $b' \equiv \frac{q+\varepsilon}{\varepsilon}b$ . Combining all the above we arrive at

$$\mu\left(f^q \log \frac{f^q}{\mu f^q}\right) \leq a'\mu(f^q) \int |\nabla\left(f \frac{e^{-\frac{1}{q}U}}{Z^{\frac{1}{q}}}\right)|^q d\lambda + (b' + \log Z) \int f^q d\mu + \mu(f^q U)$$

and, by simple arguments, we obtain

$$\mu\left(f^q \log \frac{f^q}{\mu f^q}\right) \leq 2^{q-1}a' \int |\nabla f|^q d\mu + \mu\left(f^q [2^{q-1}q^{-q}a'|\nabla U|^q + U + b' + \log Z]\right) \quad (29)$$

Now using our assumption (25) yields

$$\mu\left(f^q \log \frac{f^q}{\mu f^q}\right) \leq (2^{q-1}a' + 2^{q-1}q^{-q}a'\bar{C})\mu|\nabla f|^q + (b' + \bar{D} + \log Z)\mu|f|^q \quad (30)$$

Since for  $q \in (1, 2]$  one has, [10],

$$\mu \left( f^q \log \frac{f^q}{\mu f^q} \right) \leq \mu \left( |f - \mu f|^q \log \frac{|f - \mu f|^q}{\mu |f - \mu f|^q} \right) + 2^{q+1} \mu |f - \mu f|^q \quad (31)$$

using (30) we arrive at

$$\mu \left( f^q \log \frac{f^q}{\mu f^q} \right) \leq \left\{ (2^{q-1} a' + 2^{q-1} q^{-q} a' \bar{C}) + \frac{2^{q+1} (b' + \bar{D} + \log Z)}{M} \right\} \mu |\nabla f|^q$$

which ends the proof of the theorem.  $\square$

Using Theorem 4.1 together with results of Section 3, (q-Poincaré inequality), we arrive at the following result.

**Corollary 4.1** *The probability measures  $d\mu = e^{-W-V} d\mu_p / Z'$ , with  $p \geq 2$ , described in Theorem 2.5 satisfies the following coercive inequality*

$$\mu \left( |f|^q \log \frac{|f|^q}{\mu |f|^q} \right) \leq c \mu |\nabla f|^q \quad (\mathbf{LS}_q)$$

with  $\frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{p} = 1$  and a constant  $c \in (0, \infty)$  independent of a function  $f$ .

## 4.2 Sub-quadratic Case.

**Theorem 4.2** *Suppose  $\theta \in [1, 2]$  and let  $\varsigma = \frac{2(\theta-1)}{\theta}$ . Then there exist constants  $C, D \in (0, \infty)$  such that*

$$\int f^2 \left| \log \frac{f^2}{\int f^2 d\mu_\theta} \right|^\varsigma d\mu_\theta \leq C \int |\nabla f|^2 d\mu_\theta + D \int f^2 d\mu_\theta \quad (32)$$

*Proof:* We note first that if  $\theta \in [1, 2]$ , then  $\varsigma \in [0, 1]$ . Put  $g \equiv f \frac{e^{-\frac{\theta}{2}d}}{Z^{\frac{1}{2}}}$ . We have the following inequality

$$\begin{aligned} \int f^2 \left| \log \frac{f^2}{\int f^2 d\mu_\theta} \right|^\varsigma d\mu_\theta &= \int g^2 \left( \left| \log \frac{g}{\int g^2 d\lambda} + \beta d^\theta - \log Z \right| \right)^\varsigma d\lambda \\ &\leq \int g^2 \left| \log \frac{g^2}{\int g^2 d\lambda} \right|^\varsigma d\lambda + \int g^2 (\beta d^\theta)^\varsigma d\lambda + |\log Z|^\varsigma \int g^2 d\lambda \quad (33) \\ &= \int g^2 \left| \log \frac{g^2}{\int g^2 d\lambda} \right|^\varsigma d\lambda + \beta^\varsigma \int f^2 d^{\theta\varsigma} d\mu_\theta + |\log Z|^\varsigma \int f^2 d\mu_\theta \end{aligned}$$

Assume first that  $\mu_\theta f^2 = \int g^2 d\lambda = 1$ . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int g^2 \left( \left| \log \frac{g^2}{\int g^2 d\lambda} \right| \right)^s d\lambda &\leq \int g^2 (\log_+ g^2)^s d\lambda + D_\varsigma \\ &\leq \left( \frac{2+\varepsilon}{\varepsilon} \right)^s \left( \log_+ \left( \int g^{2+\varepsilon} d\lambda \right)^{\frac{2}{2+\varepsilon}} \right)^s + D_\varsigma \end{aligned}$$

with  $D_\varsigma \equiv \sup_{x \in (0,1)} x (\log \frac{1}{x})^s$ . Choosing suitable  $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ , we can apply Sobolev inequality, (with constants  $\bar{C}, \bar{D} \in (0, \infty)$ ), to get

$$\begin{aligned} \int g^2 \left| \log \frac{g^2}{\int g^2 d\lambda} \right|^s &\leq \left( \frac{2+\varepsilon}{\varepsilon} \right)^s \left( \log_+ \left( \bar{C} \int |\nabla g|^2 d\lambda + \bar{D} \int g^2 d\lambda \right) \right)^s + D_\varsigma \\ &\leq C_1 \int |\nabla g|^2 d\lambda + D_1 \end{aligned}$$

with

$$C_1 \equiv s \left( \frac{2+\varepsilon}{\varepsilon} \right)^s \bar{C}$$

and

$$D_1 \equiv \left\{ s \left( \frac{2+\varepsilon}{\varepsilon} \right)^s \bar{D} + \gamma_{\varsigma, s} + D_\varsigma \right\}$$

where  $s \in (0, \infty)$  and  $\gamma_{\varsigma, s} \in (0, \infty)$  is a suitable constant. Using the definition of  $g$ , we have

$$\int |\nabla g|^2 d\lambda \leq 2 \int |\nabla f|^2 d\mu_\theta + \frac{1}{2} \beta^2 \theta^2 \int f^2 d^{2(\theta-1)} d\mu_\theta$$

Now applying the U-bound of Theorem 2.6, we get

$$\int |\nabla g|^2 d\lambda \leq \left( 2 + \frac{1}{2} \beta^2 \theta^2 C_\theta \right) \int |\nabla f|^2 d\mu_\theta + \frac{1}{2} \beta^2 \theta^2 D_\theta \int f^2 d\mu_\theta$$

Thus we get (for the normalised function  $g$ )

$$\int g^2 \left| \log \frac{g^2}{\int g^2 d\lambda} \right|^s \leq C_2 \int |\nabla f|^2 d\mu_\theta + D_2 \quad (34)$$

with some constants  $C_2, D_2 \in (0, \infty)$ . Now coming back to (33), we note that since  $\theta_\varsigma = 2(\theta - 1)$ , we can use again the U-bound of Theorem 2.6 to bound the second term from the right hand side of this relation. Combining this with (34), we arrive at the following bound

$$\int f^2 \left| \log \frac{f^2}{\int f^2 d\mu_\theta} \right|^s d\mu_\theta \leq C \int |\nabla f|^2 d\mu_\theta + D \quad (35)$$

with the constants  $C = C_2 + \beta^\varsigma C_\theta$  and  $D = D_2 + \beta^\varsigma D_\theta + |\log Z|^\varsigma$ . At this stage we can remove the normalisation condition to arrive at the desired bound (32).  $\square$

Using Theorem 4.2, we prove the following tight inequality.

**Theorem 4.3** For  $\theta \in [1, 2]$  and  $\varsigma = \frac{2(\theta-1)}{\theta}$ , let

$$\Phi(x) \equiv x (\log(1+x))^\varsigma$$

Under the assumption of Theorem 4.2, if additionally  $\mu_\theta$  satisfies Poincaré inequality, there exists a constant  $c_\theta \in (0, \infty)$  such that

$$\mu_\theta \Phi(f^2) - \Phi(\mu_\theta f^2) \leq c_\theta \int |\nabla f|^2 d\mu_\theta \quad (36)$$

*Proof:* First we note that

$$\mu_\theta \Phi(f^2) - \Phi(\mu_\theta f^2) \leq \mu_\theta f^2 \left| \log \frac{1+f^2}{1+\mu_\theta f^2} \right|^\varsigma \quad (37)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_\theta f^2 \left| \log \frac{1+f^2}{1+\mu_\theta f^2} \right|^\varsigma &= \mu_\theta \chi(f^2 \geq \mu_\theta f^2) f^2 \left| \log \frac{1+f^2}{1+\mu_\theta f^2} \right|^\varsigma \\ &\quad + \mu_\theta \chi(f^2 \leq \mu_\theta f^2) f^2 \left| \log \frac{1+f^2}{1+\mu_\theta f^2} \right|^\varsigma \end{aligned} \quad (38)$$

On the set  $\{f \geq \mu_\theta f^2\}$  we have  $\frac{1+f^2}{1+\mu_\theta f^2} \leq \frac{f^2}{\mu_\theta f^2}$  and so

$$\mu_\theta \chi(f^2 \geq \mu_\theta f^2) f^2 \left| \log \frac{f^2}{\mu_\theta f^2} \right|^\varsigma \leq \mu_\theta f^2 \left| \log \frac{f^2}{\mu_\theta f^2} \right|^\varsigma$$

On the other set  $\{f \leq \mu_\theta f^2\}$ , we have  $\frac{1+\mu_\theta f^2}{1+f^2} \leq 1 + \frac{\mu_\theta f^2}{f^2}$ , and therefore

$$\mu_\theta \chi(f^2 \leq \mu_\theta f^2) f^2 \left| \log \frac{1+f^2}{1+\mu_\theta f^2} \right|^\varsigma \leq 2\mu_\theta f^2$$

Using these relations together with (38) we have

$$\mu_\theta \Phi(f^2) - \Phi(\mu_\theta f^2) \leq \mu_\theta f^2 \left| \log \frac{f^2}{\mu_\theta f^2} \right|^\varsigma + 2\mu_\theta f^2 \quad (39)$$



and thus, by Theorem 4.2, we obtain

$$\mu_\theta \Phi(f^2) - \Phi(\mu_\theta f^2) \leq C \mu_\theta |\nabla f|^2 + (D + 2) \mu_\theta f^2 \quad (40)$$

Now according to Lemma A.1 of [33], one has the following analog of Rothaus lemma for a probability measure with Orlicz function  $\Phi$  given in the theorem:  $\exists a, b \in (0, \infty)$

$$\nu \Phi(f^2) - \Phi(\nu f^2) \leq a [\nu \Phi((f - \nu f)^2) - \Phi(\nu(f - \nu f)^2)] + b \nu (f - \nu f)^2 \quad (41)$$

Combining (40) and (41) with the Poincaré inequality for the measure  $\mu_\theta$

$$\mu_\theta (f - \mu_\theta f)^2 \leq \frac{1}{M} \mu_\theta |\nabla f|^2$$

we arrive at the following result

$$\mu_\theta \Phi(f^2) - \Phi(\mu_\theta f^2) \leq \left[ aC + \frac{D + b}{M} \right] \mu_\theta |\nabla f|^2$$

□

Summarising, in the current section in essence our methods were based on the fact that the primary part of the interaction where a nice function of certain unbounded function  $d$  which length of the gradient  $|\nabla d|$  (with respect to a given set of fields) was bounded from above and stayed strictly away from zero. We also used number of times the Leibniz rule for the fields.

### 4.3 From Coercive Inequalities to U-Bounds.

For a probability measure  $d\mu \equiv e^{-U} d\lambda / Z$ , we have shown that if for  $q \in (1, 2]$  the following bound is satisfied

$$\int f^q (|\nabla U|^q + U) d\mu \leq C \int |\nabla f|^q d\mu + D \int |f|^q d\mu$$

together with  $q$ -Poincaré inequality

$$M \mu |f - \mu f|^q \leq \mu |\nabla f|^q,$$

then the following  $\mathbf{LS}_q$  inequality holds

$$\mu |f|^q \log \frac{|f|^q}{\mu |f|^q} \leq c \mu |\nabla f|^q$$

We show that the following result in the converse direction is true as well.

**Theorem 4.4** Suppose  $q \in (1, 2]$  and for some constants  $a, b \in (0, \infty)$ , we have

$$|\nabla U|^q \leq aU + b$$

and assume that the measure  $d\mu \equiv e^{-U} d\lambda / Z$  satisfies  $\mathbf{LS}_q$ . Then the following  $U$ -bound is true

$$\int |f|^q U d\mu \leq C \int |\nabla f|^q d\mu + D \int |f|^q d\mu$$

with some constant  $C, D \in (0, \infty)$  independent of  $f$ .

*Proof:* We note that by relative entropy inequality one has

$$\mu(|f|^q U) \leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \mu |f|^q \log \frac{|f|^q}{\mu |f|^q} + \left( \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \log \mu e^{\varepsilon U} \mu \right) \mu |f|^q$$

Hence, if  $\mathbf{LS}_q$  is true, we get

$$\mu(|f|^q U) \leq \frac{c}{\varepsilon} \int |\nabla f|^q d\mu + \left( \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \log \mu e^{\varepsilon U} \right) \mu |f|^q$$

Thus we will be finished if we show  $\mu e^{\varepsilon U} < \infty$ . This follows from the following result.

### Exp-Bounds from $\mathbf{LS}_q$

**Theorem 4.5** Assume that a measure  $\mu$  satisfies  $\mathbf{LS}_q$  with some  $q \in (1, 2]$ . Suppose that for some constants  $a, b \in (0, \infty)$ , we have

$$|\nabla f|^q \leq af + b$$

Then the following exp-bound is true

$$\mu e^{tf} < \infty$$

for all  $t > 0$  sufficiently small.

**Remark:** For the case  $q = 2$  see [1].

*Proof:* By our assumption, we have

$$\mu g^q \log \frac{g^q}{\mu g^q} \leq c \mu |\nabla g|^q$$

It is enough to prove the bound under additional assumption that  $f$  is bounded. Namely, given  $L \in (0, \infty)$ , replace  $f$  by  $F \equiv \chi(|f| \leq L)f + L\chi(|f| > L)$ .  $F$  satisfies our assumptions with the same constants. So we will get the claim letting  $L$  go to  $\infty$ .

Since now  $f$  is bounded,  $\exp tf$  is integrable and we have

$$\mu \left( e^{tf} \log \frac{e^{tf}}{\mu e^{tf}} \right) \leq cq^{-q} t^q \mu (e^{tf} |\nabla f|^q)$$

By our assumption  $|\nabla f|^q \leq af + b$ , so we get

$$\mu \left( e^{tf} \log \frac{e^{tf}}{\mu e^{tf}} \right) \leq caq^{-q} t^q \mu (e^{tf} f) + cbq^{-q} t^q \mu (e^{tf})$$

which can be rearranged to get

$$(1 - caq^{-q} t^{q-1}) \mu \left( \frac{e^{tf}}{\mu e^{tf}} \log \frac{e^{tf}}{\mu e^{tf}} \right) \leq caq^{-q} t^{q-1} \log \mu (e^{tf}) + cbq^{-q} t^q$$

Taking into the account that

$$\mu \left( \frac{e^{tf}}{\mu e^{tf}} \log \frac{e^{tf}}{\mu e^{tf}} \right) = t^2 \frac{d}{dt} \frac{1}{t} \log \mu e^{tf}$$

and setting  $G(t) \equiv \frac{1}{t} \log \mu e^{tf}$ , after simple transformations we obtain the following differential inequality

$$\frac{d}{dt} G(t) \leq \beta t^{q-2} G(t) + \gamma t^{q-2}$$

with  $\beta(t) \equiv \frac{caq^{-q}}{(1-caq^{-q}t^{q-1})}$  and  $\gamma(t) \equiv \frac{cbq^{-q}}{(1-caq^{-q}t^{q-1})}$  which are well defined for  $caq^{-q}t^{q-1} < 1$ . Since  $G(t) \rightarrow \mu f$  as  $t \rightarrow 0$  and  $q \in (1, 2]$ , for  $caq^{-q}t^{q-1} < \varepsilon < 1$ , after integration we get

$$G(t) \leq \mu f + \frac{cbq^{-q}}{(q-1)(1-\varepsilon)} t^{q-1} + \frac{caq^{-q}}{(1-\varepsilon)} \int_0^t d\tau \tau^{q-2} G(\tau)$$

In our range of  $q \in (1, 2]$ , this can be solved by iteration. Since  $G(t)$  is nondecreasing, in this interval one also has

$$G(t) \leq \mu f + \frac{cbq^{-q}}{(q-1)(1-\varepsilon)} t^{q-1} + \frac{caq^{-q}}{(q-1)(1-\varepsilon)} t^{q-1} G(t)$$

which for  $\frac{caq^{-q}}{(q-1)(1-\varepsilon)} t^{q-1} \equiv \delta < 1$  yields the following bound

$$\mu e^{(1-\delta)tf} \leq \exp\{t\mu f + Ct^q\}$$

with  $C \equiv \frac{cbq^{-a}}{(q-1)(1-\varepsilon)}$ . One can check that our bound is independent of the cut off  $L$  in the given interval of  $t$ .

By the above we have shown the equivalence of the  $\mathbf{LS}_q$  and  $U$ -bounds in particular in the cases of natural interactions dependent on the metric. Similar considerations can be provided in the subquadratic case for which the exponential bounds are known (see e.g. [31], [5]).

## 5 Weighted U-Bounds and Coercive Inequalities.

Let  $p \geq 2$  and suppose  $f$  is a smooth function supported away from the origin. Starting with the identity

$$d^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}}(\nabla f)e^{-\frac{\beta d^p}{2}} = d^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}}\nabla\left(fe^{-\frac{\beta d^p}{2}}\right) + \frac{p\beta}{2}d^{p-\frac{\alpha}{2}-1}(\nabla d)fe^{-\frac{\beta d^p}{2}},$$

squaring and integrating with the measure  $d\lambda$ , one obtains

$$\begin{aligned} \int d^{-\alpha}|\nabla f|^2e^{-\beta d^p}d\lambda &\geq p\beta \int d^{p-\alpha-1}\nabla\left(fe^{-\frac{\beta d^p}{2}}\right) \cdot (\nabla d)fe^{-\frac{\beta d^p}{2}}d\lambda \\ &\quad + \frac{p^2\beta^2}{4} \int d^{2p-\alpha-2}|\nabla d|^2f^2e^{-\beta d^p}d\lambda \end{aligned}$$

Hence, after integration by parts in the first term on the right hand side and simple rearrangements, one arrives at the following bound

$$\begin{aligned} \int d^{-\alpha}|\nabla f|^2e^{-\beta d^p}d\lambda &\geq \frac{p^2\beta^2}{4} \int f^2(d^{2p-\alpha-2}|\nabla d|^2)e^{-\beta d^p}d\lambda \\ &\quad - \int f^2\left[\frac{p(p-\alpha-1)\beta}{2}d^{p-\alpha-2}|\nabla d|^2 + \frac{p\beta}{2}d^{p-\alpha-1}\Delta d\right]e^{-\beta d^p}d\lambda \end{aligned}$$

If we choose  $\alpha = p - 2$  and assume  $|\nabla d| \geq \frac{1}{\sigma} > 0$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int d^{-\alpha}|\nabla f|^2e^{-\beta d^p}d\lambda &\geq \frac{p^2\beta^2}{4\sigma^2} \int f^2d^pe^{-\beta d^p}d\lambda \\ &\quad - \int f^2\left[\frac{p(p+1)\beta}{2}|\nabla d|^2 + \frac{p\beta}{2}d\Delta d\right]e^{-\beta d^p}d\lambda \end{aligned}$$

Finally assuming that there exists constants  $K \in (0, \infty)$  and  $\delta \in (0, \frac{p^2\beta^2}{4\sigma^2})$ , such that

$$\frac{p(p+1)\beta}{2}|\nabla d|^2 + \frac{p\beta}{2}d\Delta d \leq K + \delta d^p$$

we arrive at

$$\left(\frac{p^2\beta^2}{4\sigma^2} - \delta\right) \int f^2 d^p e^{-\beta d^p} d\lambda \leq \int d^{-\alpha} |\nabla f|^2 e^{-\beta d^p} d\lambda + K \int f^2 e^{-\beta d^p} d\lambda$$

By adjusting the constant on the right hand side and replacing  $d^{-\alpha}$  by  $\langle d \rangle^{-\alpha} \equiv (1 + d^2)^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}}$ , we conclude with the following result.

**Theorem 5.1** *Let  $d\mu \equiv e^{-\beta d^p} d\lambda/Z$  with  $p > 2$ . Suppose there are constants  $\sigma \in [1, \infty)$  and  $K \in (0, \infty)$  and  $\delta \in (0, \frac{p^2\beta^2}{4\sigma^2})$  such that  $|\nabla d| \geq \frac{1}{\sigma}$  and*

$$\frac{p\beta}{2} |\nabla d|^2 + \frac{p\beta}{2} d\Delta d \leq K + \delta d^p$$

*Then there are constant  $C, D \in (0, \infty)$  such that*

$$\mu f^2 d^p \leq C\mu (\langle d \rangle^{2-p} |\nabla f|^2) + D\mu f^2$$

Using this bound, by similar arguments as in the proof of Poincaré inequality, (see Theorem 3.1), we now obtain

**Theorem 5.2** *Under the assumptions of Theorem 4.4 there is a constant  $M \in (0, \infty)$  such that*

$$M \mu (f - \mu f)^2 \leq \mu (\langle d \rangle^{2-p} |\nabla f|^2)$$

Finally following our strategy from the beginning of Section 4, (see proof of Theorem 4.2), with appropriate amendments, we arrive at the following coercive inequality.

**Theorem 5.3** *Under the assumptions of Theorem 4.4 there is a constant  $c \in (0, \infty)$  such that*

$$\mu \left( f^2 \log \frac{f^2}{\mu f^2} \right) \leq c\mu (\langle d \rangle^{2-p} |\nabla f|^2)$$

## 5.1 Weighted U-Bounds and Coercive Inequalities: Distributions with Slow Tails on Riemannian Manifolds.

In this section we consider a noncompact smooth Riemannian manifold  $\mathbb{M}$  of dimension  $3 \leq N < \infty$ . In this setup  $d(x)$  denotes the Riemannian distance of a point  $x$  from a given point  $x_0 \in \mathbb{M}$  called later on the origin. By  $\nabla$  and  $\Delta$  we denote the gradient and Laplace-Beltrami operators, respectively.

The aim of this section is to discuss coercive inequalities involving probability measures  $d\mu \equiv \rho dx$  with density (with respect to the corresponding Riemannian measure  $d\lambda$  on  $\mathbb{M}$ ) which is of the form  $\rho \equiv e^{-U(d)}/Z$  with leading part of the function  $U$  given by a concave function (and therefore also defining a non-Riemannian distance on  $\mathbb{M}$ ). In particular we will consider the following cases:

- (i)  $U(d) = \beta d^\alpha$ , with  $\alpha \in (0, \infty)$  and  $\beta > 0$ ,
- (ii)  $U(d) = \beta \log(1 + d)$  with  $\beta > 0$ .

Before we go on we recall the following Laplacian comparison theorem, (cf [11], [30] ([37], [46]-[47])). For a complete Riemannian manifold  $\mathbb{M}$  with  $Ric \geq (N - 1)K$  where  $K \in \mathbb{R}$ :

- (\*) If  $K \leq 0$ , then  $\Delta d \leq (N - 1)d^{-1} + (N - 1)\sqrt{|K|}$
- (\*\*) If  $Ric \geq 0$ , then  $\Delta d \leq (N - 1)d^{-1}$

By similar computation as we have done in Section 2, for a smooth nonnegative function  $f$  localised outside a ball  $B_\varepsilon \equiv B_\varepsilon(x_0)$  centred at the origin we consider a field

$$(\nabla f)e^{-U} = \nabla (fe^{-U}) + f(U'\nabla d)e^{-U}. \quad (42)$$

to which we will apply a functional

$$\alpha(\mathbf{v}) \equiv \int W(\nabla d \cdot \mathbf{v}) d\lambda. \quad (43)$$

defined with a positive weight function  $W \equiv W(d)$  to be specified later. Using the fact that  $|\nabla d| = 1$  (for  $d \neq 0$ ), together with arguments involving Hölder inequality and integration by parts one arrives at the following bound

$$\int f\mathcal{V} e^{-U} d\lambda \leq \int W|\nabla f|e^{-U} d\lambda \quad (44)$$

with

$$\mathcal{V} \equiv \chi_{\mathbb{M} \setminus B_\varepsilon} (WU' - \operatorname{div}(W\nabla d))$$

Later on we will extend  $\mathcal{V}$  to  $B_\varepsilon$  in a convenient way by adding an arbitrary bounded continuous function. One can handle a function of arbitrary sign replacing  $f$  by  $|f|$  and using equality  $\nabla|f| = \text{sgn}(f)\nabla f$ . To include  $f$  which are non-zero on a ball centred at the origin we write  $f = f_0 + f_1$  where  $f_0 = \phi f$ ,  $f_1 = (1 - \phi)f$  and  $\phi(x) = \min(\varepsilon, \max(2\varepsilon - d(x), 0))$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \int |f|\mathcal{V}d\mu &= \int_{d(x)\leq 2\varepsilon} |f|\mathcal{V}d\mu + \int_{d(x)>2\varepsilon} |f|\mathcal{V}d\mu \\ &\leq \sup_{\{d\leq 2\varepsilon\}} (\mathcal{V}) \int \phi|f|d\mu + \int |f|_1\mathcal{V}d\mu \end{aligned} \quad (45)$$

Next we have

$$|\nabla f_1| \leq |\nabla f| + \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\chi_{\{\varepsilon\leq d<2\varepsilon\}}|f|, \quad (46)$$

and therefore

$$\int |f_1|\mathcal{V}d\mu \leq \int W(1 - \phi)|\nabla f|d\mu + \sup_{\{\varepsilon\leq d<2\varepsilon\}} (\varepsilon^{-1}W) \int_{\varepsilon\leq d<2\varepsilon} |f|d\mu \quad (47)$$

Combining (42) - (47) we arrive at the following bound

$$\begin{aligned} \int |f|\mathcal{V}d\mu &\leq \int W(1 - \phi)|\nabla f|d\mu + \sup_{\{d\leq 2\varepsilon\}} (\mathcal{V}) \int \phi|f|d\mu \\ &\quad + \sup_{\{\varepsilon\leq d<2\varepsilon\}} (\varepsilon^{-1}W) \int_{\varepsilon\leq d<2\varepsilon} |f|d\mu \end{aligned} \quad (48)$$

Hence with

$$B \equiv \sup_{\{d\leq 2\varepsilon\}} (\mathcal{V}) + \sup_{\{\varepsilon\leq d<2\varepsilon\}} (\varepsilon^{-1}W),$$

we have

$$\int |f|\mathcal{V}d\mu \leq \int W|\nabla f|d\mu + B \int |f|d\mu \quad (49)$$

**Case (i)**

For  $U(d) = \beta d^\alpha$ , with  $\alpha \in (0, \infty)$  and  $\beta > 0$ , choosing  $W(d) = \alpha^{-1}d^\kappa$ , with  $\kappa \geq 1$ , we have

$$\mathcal{V} \equiv WU' - \operatorname{div}(W\nabla d) = U - \alpha^{-1}\kappa d^{\kappa-1} - \alpha^{-1}d^\kappa \Delta d \quad (50)$$

Thus if (\*) holds, we have

$$\mathcal{V} \geq \beta d^{\alpha-1+\kappa} - \chi_{\mathbb{M} \setminus B_\varepsilon} \left( \alpha^{-1}\kappa N d^{\kappa-1} + \alpha^{-1}(N-1)\sqrt{|K|}d^\kappa \right) \quad (51)$$

Hence we conclude with the following result

**Theorem 5.4** *Let  $d\mu \equiv e^{-U}d\lambda/Z$  with  $U \equiv \beta d^\alpha$  where  $\alpha \in (0, \infty)$ . Suppose  $\operatorname{Ric} \geq (N-1)K$  with  $K \leq 0$ .*

- *If  $\alpha > 1$ , then for any  $\kappa \geq 1$ , there exist constants  $c_1, b_1 \in (0, \infty)$  such that*

$$\int |f|U d\mu \leq c_1 \int d^\kappa |\nabla f| d\mu + b_1 \int |f| d\mu \quad (52)$$

- *If  $\alpha = 1$  and  $\beta > \alpha^{-1}(N-1)\sqrt{|K|}$ , then for any  $\kappa \geq 1$ , there exists constant  $c_1, b_1 \in (0, \infty)$  such that (52) is true.*
- *If  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$  and  $\operatorname{Ric} \geq 0$ , then for any  $\kappa \geq 1$ , there exist constants  $c_1, b_1 \in (0, \infty)$  such that (52) is true.*

*Moreover if (52) holds, then for any  $q \in (1, \infty)$ , we have*

$$\int |f|^q U d\mu \leq c_2 \int d^{q(\kappa - \frac{\alpha}{p})} |\nabla f|^q d\mu + b_2 \int |f|^q d\mu \quad (53)$$

*with  $c_2 \equiv c_1 \lambda q^{q-1} \beta^{\frac{q}{p}} [1 - c_1/(p\lambda)]^{-1}$  and  $b_2 \equiv b_1 [1 - c_1/(p\lambda)]^{-1}$ .*

The second part follows from the first by substituting  $f^q$  in place of  $f$  and using elementary arguments involving Young inequality.

As a consequence, by similar arguments as earlier in this section, we obtain the following result on possible coercive inequalities.

**Theorem 5.5** *Let  $d\mu \equiv e^{-U}d\lambda/Z$  with  $U \equiv \beta d^\alpha$  where  $\alpha \in (0, \infty)$ . Suppose  $\operatorname{Ric} \geq (N-1)K$  with  $K \leq 0$ .*

- *If  $\alpha > 1$ , then for any  $\kappa \geq 1$ , there exist constants  $c \in (0, \infty)$  such that*



$$\mu|f|^q \log \frac{|f|^q}{\mu|f|^q} \leq c \int d^{q(\kappa - \frac{\alpha}{p})} |\nabla f|^q d\mu \quad (54)$$

- If  $\alpha = 1$  and  $\beta > \alpha^{-1}(N-1)\sqrt{|K|}$ , then for any  $\kappa \geq 1$ , there exist a constant  $c \in (0, \infty)$  such that (54) is true.
- If  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$  and  $\text{Ric} \geq 0$ , then for any  $\kappa \geq 1$ , there exist a constant  $c \in (0, \infty)$  such that (54) is true.

As a consequence the following inequality holds

$$M \mu|f - \mu f|^q \leq \int d^{q(\kappa - \frac{\alpha}{p})} |\nabla f|^q d\mu \quad (55)$$

with some  $M \in (0, \infty)$ .

### Case (ii)

For  $U(d) = \beta \log(1+d)$  with  $\beta > 0$ , choosing  $W(d) = d \log(1+d)$  and setting

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{V} &\equiv U + \chi_{\mathbb{M} \setminus B_\varepsilon} (W\beta(1+d)^{-1} - \text{div}(W\nabla d)) \\ &= U - \chi_{\mathbb{M} \setminus B_\varepsilon} [1 + \log(1+d)] - \chi_{\mathbb{M} \setminus B_\varepsilon} d \log(1+d) \Delta d \end{aligned} \quad (56)$$

Thus if (\*) holds, we have

$$\mathcal{V} \geq U - \chi_{\mathbb{M} \setminus B_\varepsilon} [1 + \log(1+d)] - \chi_{\mathbb{M} \setminus B_\varepsilon} d \log(1+d) \left[ (N-1)d^{-1} + (N-1)\sqrt{|K|} \right] \quad (57)$$

Hence we conclude with the following result

**Theorem 5.6** *Let  $d\mu \equiv (1+d)^{-\beta} d\lambda/Z$  with  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ . Suppose  $\text{Ric} \geq 0$ . If  $\beta > N$ , then*

$$\int |f| U d\mu \leq c_1 \int d \log(1+d) |\nabla f| d\mu + b_1 \int |f| d\mu \quad (58)$$

with

$$c_1 \equiv \beta \cdot [\beta - N]^{-1}$$

and

$$b_1 \equiv \beta \cdot [\beta - N]^{-1} \cdot \left( N + \sup_{\{d \leq 2\varepsilon\}} (\mathcal{V}) + \sup_{\{\varepsilon \leq d < 2\varepsilon\}} (\varepsilon^{-1} W) \right),$$

Hence, there exist  $c_q, b_q \in (0, \infty)$  such that

$$\int |f|^q U d\mu \leq c_q \int d^q \log(1+d) |\nabla f|^q d\mu + b_q \int |f|^q d\mu \quad (59)$$

The second part follows from the first by substituting  $f^q$  in place of  $f$  and using the following Young inequality

$$d|\nabla f^q| = q(|f|^{q-1} \cdot d|\nabla f|) \leq \lambda^q d^q |\nabla f|^q + \frac{q}{p} \lambda^{-p} |f|^q$$

which implies

$$\begin{aligned} \int d \log(1+d) |\nabla f^q| d\mu &= \int d \log(1+d) q |f|^{q-1} |\nabla f| d\mu \\ &\leq \lambda^q \int d^q \log(1+d) |\nabla f|^q d\mu + \frac{q}{p} \lambda^{-p} \int \log(1+d) q |f|^q d\mu \end{aligned}$$

From this and (58), choosing  $c_1 \frac{q}{p} \lambda^{-p} < 1$ , one obtains

$$\int |f|^q U d\mu \leq c_q \int d^q \log(1+d) |\nabla f|^q d\mu + b_q \int |f|^q d\mu$$

with  $c_q \equiv c_1 \lambda^q (1 - c_1 \frac{q}{p} \lambda^{-p})^{-1}$  and  $b_q \equiv b_1 (1 - c_1 \frac{q}{p} \lambda^{-p})^{-1}$ .

As a consequence of the above theorem, using arguments similar to those of sections 4.1 and 4.2, we derive the following result on possible coercive inequalities.

**Theorem 5.7** *Let  $d\mu \equiv e^{-\beta \log(1+d)} dx/Z$  with  $\beta > N$ . Suppose  $Ric \geq 0$ . Then for any  $q \geq 1$ , there are constants  $M_q, c_q \in (0, \infty)$ , such that*

$$M_q \mu |f - \mu f|^q \leq \mu (1+d)^q \log(e+d) |\nabla f|^q \quad (60)$$

and

$$\mu |f|^q \log \frac{|f|^q}{\mu |f|^q} \leq c_q \mu (1+d)^q \log(e+d) |\nabla f|^q \quad (61)$$

### 5.1.1 Weighted Inequalities at Large $\beta$

Let  $U \equiv \beta \log(1+d)$ , with  $\beta > N \equiv \dim(\mathbb{M})$ . While the above results are true for any  $\beta > N$ , we will show that for sufficiently big  $\beta$  and  $Ric \geq 0$  due to the special nature of the interaction it is possible to improve the weight in the Poincaré and related Log-Sobolev inequalities.

We start from noting that for a nonnegative differentiable function supported outside a ball of radius  $r$  centred at the origin, one has

$$\int (1+d) |\nabla f| e^{-U} dx \geq \int (1+d) \nabla d \cdot \nabla f e^{-U} dx$$

$$= \int (1+d) [\nabla d \cdot \nabla (f e^{-U}) + f \nabla d \cdot \nabla U] dx$$

and so, taking into the account that  $|\nabla f|^2 = 1$ , one gets

$$\int f [\beta - 1 - (1+d)\Delta d] e^{-U} dx \leq \int (1+d) |\nabla f| e^{-U} dx$$

When  $Ric \geq 0$ , we have  $\Delta d \leq (N-1)d^{-1}$  which implies the following bound

$$M_\beta \int f e^{-U} dx \leq \int (1+d) |\nabla f| e^{-U} dx \quad (62)$$

where  $M_\beta \equiv \left[ \beta - N - \frac{(N-1)}{r} \right]$ . Since  $|\nabla f| \geq |\nabla |f||$ , this inequality remains true for not necessarily positive function with  $f$  replaced by  $|f|$  on the right hand side. Let now consider the following cutoff function

$$\chi(t) \equiv \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for } 0 \leq t \leq 2r \\ 1 - \frac{(t-r)}{L} & \text{for } 2r \leq t \leq R \\ 0 & \text{for } t \geq R \end{cases}$$

with some  $R > 2r$  to be chosen later. Setting  $\tilde{f}_1 \equiv (f - \mu f)\chi$  and  $\tilde{f}_2 \equiv (f - \mu f)\chi$ , we have

$$\mu |f - \mu f| \leq \mu |\tilde{f}_1| + \mu |\tilde{f}_2|$$

As  $\tilde{f}_1$  is compactly supported Lipschitz function, there is an  $m \equiv m_R \in (0, \infty)$  independent of the function  $f$ , such that

$$\mu |\tilde{f}_1| \leq m_R^{-1} \mu |\nabla \tilde{f}_1| \leq m_R^{-1} \mu (|\nabla f| \chi) + \frac{1}{m_R(R-2r)} \mu (|f - \mu f| \chi(2r < d < R))$$

The second term on the right hand side can be treated with the help of (62) as follows. Setting  $\hat{\chi}$  to be a Lipschitz extension of  $\chi(2r < d < R)$  supported outside the ball of radius  $r$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mu (|f - \mu f| \chi(2r < d < R)) &\leq \mu (|f - \mu f| \hat{\chi}) \leq M_\beta^{-1} \mu (1+d) |\nabla f| \\ &+ M_\beta^{-1} \sup |\nabla \hat{\chi}| \mu |f - \mu f| \end{aligned}$$

Thus we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mu |\tilde{f}_1| &\leq m_R^{-1} \mu |\nabla \tilde{f}_1| \leq \left[ m_R^{-1} + \frac{1}{m_R(R-2r)} M_\beta^{-1} \right] \mu (1+d) |\nabla f| \hat{\chi} \quad (63) \\ &+ \frac{1}{m_R(R-2r)} M_\beta^{-1} \sup |\nabla \hat{\chi}| \mu |f - \mu f| \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand applying (62) to  $\tilde{f}_2$  we obtain

$$\mu|\tilde{f}_2| \leq M_\beta^{-1}\mu(1+d)|\nabla f|(1-\chi) + M_\beta^{-1}\frac{1+R}{R-r}\mu(|f - \mu f|\chi(r < d < R)) \quad (64)$$

Combining (63) and (63) we arrive at

$$\mu|f - \mu f| \leq c_0\mu(1+d)|\nabla f| + b_0\mu|f - \mu f| \quad (65)$$

with

$$c_0 \equiv \left[ m_R^{-1} + \left( \frac{1}{m_R(R-2r)} + 1 \right) M_\beta^{-1} \right]$$

and

$$b_0 \equiv M_\beta^{-1} \left( \frac{1}{m_R(R-2r)} \sup |\nabla \hat{\chi}| + \frac{1+R}{R-r} \right)$$

Since given  $R > 2r$ , one can choose  $\beta > N$  sufficiently large so that  $b_0 < 1$ , we conclude with the following result

**Theorem 5.8** *Suppose  $U = \beta \log(1+d)$ , with  $\beta > N$ , and  $\text{Ric} \geq 0$ . Then there exists  $\beta_0 > N$ , such that for any  $\beta > \beta_0$ , one has*

$$M\mu|f - \mu f| \leq \mu(1+d)|\nabla f| \quad (66)$$

with some constant  $M \in (0, \infty)$  independent of  $f$ . Consequently, we have

$$M_q\mu|f - \mu f|^q \leq \mu(1+d)^q|\nabla f|^q \quad (67)$$

with some constant  $M_q \in (0, \infty)$

The second part of the theorem follows by similar arguments as the ones used in the proof of Proposition 2.3 in [10].

Next we study the relative entropy estimate as follows. For a non-negative function  $f$ , setting  $f_1 \equiv f\chi$  and  $f_2 \equiv f(1-\chi)$  with the same Lipschitz cutoff function  $\chi$ , we have

$$\mu f \log \frac{f}{\mu f} \leq \mu f_1 \log \frac{f_1}{\mu f_1} + \mu f_2 \log \frac{f_2}{\mu f_2}$$

Since the function  $f_1$  is compactly supported and the density of the measure  $\mu$  restricted to the ball  $B_R(x_0)$  bounded and bounded away from zero, (via the arguments involving Sobolev inequality) we get

$$\mu f_1 \log \frac{f_1}{\mu f_1} \leq c_1\mu|\nabla f_1| \leq c_1\mu(|\nabla f|\chi) + b_1 \sup |\nabla \chi|\mu f \quad (68)$$

with some constants  $c_1, b_1 \in (0, \infty)$  independent of  $f$ . Next we apply similar arguments based on Sobolev inequality with the function  $F \equiv \frac{f_2 e^U}{\int f_2 e^U dx}$  and the Riemannian measure  $dx$  to get

$$\int F \log \frac{F}{\int F dx} dx \leq a \int |\nabla F| dx + b \int F dx$$

with some constants  $a, b \in (0, \infty)$ . Hence we have

$$\mu f_2 \log \frac{f_2}{\mu f_2} \leq a \mu |\nabla f| (1 - \chi) + \mu f (1 - \chi) (a |\nabla U| + b - \log Z) + \mu f_2 U \quad (69)$$

In our current setup we have  $|\nabla U| \leq \beta$ . Moreover, by simple relative entropy arguments, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mu f_2 U &= \frac{1}{\lambda} \mu f_2 \log \frac{e^{\lambda U}}{\mu e^{\lambda U}} + \frac{1}{\lambda} \log \mu e^{\lambda U} \mu f_2 \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\lambda} \mu f_2 \log \frac{f_2}{\mu f_2} + \frac{1}{\lambda} \log \mu e^{\lambda U} \mu f_2 \end{aligned}$$

which hold provided that  $\beta > N + \lambda$ . If we can choose  $\lambda > 1$ , this together with (69) implies

$$\mu f_2 \log \frac{f_2}{\mu f_2} \leq c_2 \mu |\nabla f| (1 - \chi) + b_2 \mu f (1 - \chi) \quad (70)$$

with

$$c_2 \equiv a(1 - \lambda^{-1})^{-1}$$

and

$$b_2 \equiv (1 - \lambda^{-1})^{-1} \left[ a\beta + b - \log Z \frac{1}{\lambda} \log \mu e^{\lambda U} \right]$$

Combining (70) and (68) we arrive at the following result

**Theorem 5.9** *Suppose  $U = \beta \log(1 + d)$ , with  $\beta > N$ , and  $\text{Ric} \geq 0$ . Then there exists  $\beta_0 > N$ , such that for any  $\beta > \beta_0$ , one has*

$$\mu f \log \frac{f}{\mu f} \leq \bar{c} \mu (1 + d) |\nabla f| + \bar{b} \mu f \quad (71)$$

with some constant  $\bar{c}, \bar{b} \in (0, \infty)$  independent of  $f$ . Consequently, if the weighted Poincaré inequality (67) is true for  $q > 1$ , we have

$$\mu f^q \log \frac{f^q}{\mu f^q} \leq c_q \mu (1 + d)^q |\nabla f|^q \quad (\mathbf{WLS}_q)$$

with some constant  $c_q \in (0, \infty)$ .

We remark that (71) implies similar weighted  $LS_q$  inequality with  $f$  replaced by  $|f|^q$  and  $|\nabla f|$  by its  $q$ -th power (which follows simply by substitution and use of Hölder inequality), while the tightening is obtained via Rothschild arguments (see e.g. [10]).

## 6 Optimal control distance on the Heisenberg Group.

Heisenberg group  $H_l$  as a manifold is isomorphic to  $\mathcal{R}^{2l+1} = \mathcal{R}^{2l} \times \mathcal{R}$  with the multiplication given by the formula

$$(x_1, z_1) \circ (x_2, z_2) = (x_1 + x_2, z_1 + z_2 + \frac{1}{2}S(x_1, x_2))$$

where  $S(x, y)$  is standard symplectic form on  $\mathcal{R}^{2l}$ :

$$S(x, y) = \sum_{i=1}^l (x_i y_{i+l} - x_{i+l} y_i).$$

Vector fields spanning the corresponding Lie algebra are give as follows

$$X_i = \partial_{x_i} + \frac{1}{2}x_{i+l}\partial_z,$$

$$X_{i+l} = \partial_{x_{i+l}} - \frac{1}{2}x_i\partial_z,$$

$$Z = \partial_z$$

where  $i = 1, \dots, l$ .

More generally, we say that a Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{n}$  is a stratified Lie algebra if it can be written as

$$\mathfrak{n} = \bigoplus_i^m \mathfrak{n}_i,$$

$$[\mathfrak{n}_i, \mathfrak{n}_j] \subset \mathfrak{n}_{i+j}$$

and  $\mathfrak{n}$  is generated by  $\mathfrak{n}_1$ . Note that stratified Lie algebra is nilpotent.

We say that Lie group  $N$  is stratified if it is connected, simply connected and its Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{n}$  is stratified. Since for stratified groups exponential mapping is a diffeomorphism from  $\mathfrak{n}$  to  $N$ , one can identify  $N$  with  $\mathfrak{n}$ .

A Lie algebra is step two if it is stratified with  $m = 2$ . In other words it can be written in the form

$$\mathfrak{n} = \mathfrak{v} \oplus \mathfrak{z}$$

where  $\mathfrak{z}$  is the center (that is  $[\mathfrak{n}, \mathfrak{z}] = 0$ ) and  $[\mathfrak{v}, \mathfrak{v}] \subset \mathfrak{z}$ .

On a stratified Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{n}$  we define dilations by the formula

$$\delta(s)x = s^i x$$

for  $x \in \mathfrak{n}_i$  (and extend linearly to the whole  $\mathfrak{n}$ . For  $s \neq 0$   $\delta(s)$  is an automorphism of  $\mathfrak{n}$ . One can also define dilations on the corresponding group:  $\delta(\exp(X)) = \exp(\delta(X))$ .

A Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{n}$  is of H-type (Heisenberg type) if it is step two and there exists an inner product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  on  $\mathfrak{n}$  such that  $\mathfrak{z}$  is an orthogonal complement to  $\mathfrak{v}$ , and the map  $J_Z : \mathfrak{v} \mapsto \mathfrak{v}$  given by

$$\langle J_Z X, Y \rangle = \langle [X, Y], Z \rangle$$

for  $X, Y \in \mathfrak{v}$  and  $Z \in \mathfrak{z}$  satisfies  $J_Z^2 = -|Z|^2 I$  for each  $Z \in \mathfrak{z}$ . Equivalently, for each  $v \in \mathfrak{v}$  of length 1 the mapping  $ad_v^*$  given by

$$\langle ad_v^* z, y \rangle = \langle z, ad_v y \rangle = \langle z, [v, y] \rangle$$

is an isometry from  $\mathfrak{z}^*$  into  $\mathfrak{v}^*$ .

An H-type group is a connected and simply connected Lie group  $N$  whose Lie algebra is of H-type. We can identify H-type group  $N$  with its Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{n}$  defining multiplication on  $\mathfrak{n}$  by the formula:

$$(v_1, z_1) \cdot (v_2, z_2) = (v_1 + v_2, z_1 + z_2 + \frac{1}{2}[v_1, v_2])$$

where  $v_1, v_2 \in \mathfrak{v}$  and  $z_1, z_2 \in \mathfrak{z}$ .

It is easy to see that Heisenberg group is an H-type group. Also H-type group with one-dimensional center is isomorphic to the Heisenberg group, however there exist H-type groups with center of arbitrary high dimension [29].

On H-type group we consider vector fields  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  which form an orthonormal basis of  $\mathfrak{v}$  and we introduce the following operators

Subelliptic gradient:

$$\nabla f = (X_1 f, \dots, X_n f)$$

Kohn laplacian

$$\Delta = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2.$$

On Heisenberg group  $H_l$   $n = 2l$  and

$$\Delta = \sum_{i=1}^{2l} \partial_{x_i}^2 + \partial_z \sum_{i=1}^l (x_{i+l} \partial_{x_i} - x_i \partial_{x_{i+l}}) + \frac{|x|^2}{4} \partial_z^2.$$

On general H-type group we similar, but more complicated expression:

$$\Delta = \sum_{i=1}^n \partial_{v_i}^2 + \sum_{i=1}^k \partial_{z_i} \sum J_{\alpha,i} + \frac{|v|^2}{4} \sum_{i=1}^k \partial_{z_i}^2$$

where  $J_{\alpha,i}$  are vector fields corresponding to rotations.

Length of a curve: smooth  $\gamma : [0, 1] \mapsto G$  is admissible if  $\gamma'(s) = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i(s) X_i(\gamma(s))$ . If  $\gamma$  is admissible, then  $|\gamma| = \int_0^1 (\sum_{i=1}^n a_i^2(s))^{1/2}$ .

Distance

$$d(g) = \inf |\gamma|$$

where infimum is taken over all admissible  $\gamma$  such that  $\gamma(0) = e$  and  $\gamma(1) = g$ .

$d$  is homogeneous of degree 1 with respect to the dilations  $\delta(s)$ , namely for  $s > 0$

$$d(\delta(s)g) = sd(g).$$

**Lemma 6.1** *On H-type group  $Z$  distance  $d((v, z))$  depends only on  $|v|$  and  $|z|$ . Moreover if  $\bar{v}, \bar{z} \in H_1$ ,  $|v| = |\bar{v}|$ ,  $|z| = |\bar{z}|$ , then  $d((v, z)) = d((\bar{v}, \bar{z}))$ .*

*Proof:* Fix vectors  $V, Z \in N$  such that  $|V| = 1$ ,  $|Z| = 1$ ,  $v = |v|V$ ,  $z = |z|Z$ . Put  $X = J_Z(V)$ . Since  $J_Z$  is antisymmetric and  $J_Z^2 = I$ ,  $J_Z$  is orthogonal, so  $|X| = 1$ . Also, for any  $S \in \mathfrak{z}$  of length 1, we have

$$|\langle [X, Y], S \rangle| = |\langle J_S X, Y \rangle| \leq |X||Y|$$

so since

$$\langle [V, X], Z \rangle = \langle J_Z V, X \rangle = \langle X, X \rangle = |X|^2 = 1$$

we have  $[V, X] = Z$ .

Now, it is easy to see that the subgroup (in fact a subspace) of  $N$  generated by  $V, X, Z$  is isomorphic to  $H_1$ . Consequently, using images of curves from  $H_1$  to join  $(v, z)$  we see that  $d((v, z)) \leq d((|v|, 0), z)$  where on the right hand we have distance in  $H_1$ .

To get inequality in the opposite direction consider quotient group  $N/M$  where  $M = \{t \in \mathfrak{z} : \langle t, Z \rangle = 0\}$ . It is easy to see that  $N/M$  is still an H-type group (note that since  $N/M$  has one dimensional center it is enough to check the defining property just for  $J_Z$ ). Hence,  $N/M$  is isomorphic to the Heisenberg group of appropriate dimension. For Heisenberg group our claim is well-known.  $\square$

If is known [35] that on Heisenberg group if  $g = (x, z)$  and  $x \neq 0$  then  $d$  is smooth at  $g$  and  $|\nabla d| = 1$ , however when  $x = 0$  than  $d$  is not differentiable at  $g$ .



**Lemma 6.2** *Let  $A_\epsilon = (r, z) \in \mathcal{R}^2 : z > 0, r > -\epsilon z$ . There is  $\epsilon > 0$  and a smooth function  $\psi(r, z)$  defined on  $A_\epsilon$  such that on each group  $N$  of  $H$ -type*

$$d((x, z)) = \psi(|x|, |z|).$$

Moreover,  $\partial_r \psi < 0$  when  $r = 0$ .

*Proof:* First, by Lemma 6.1 without loss of generality we may assume that  $N = H_1$ . Also, if  $|x_1| = |x_2|$  and  $|z_1| = |z_2|$ , then  $d(x_1, z_1) = d(x_2, z_2)$ , so  $\psi$  is uniquely defined for  $r \geq 0$ . We need to show that it has smooth extension to  $A_\epsilon$ . Since  $d$  is homogeneous, it is enough to construct smooth extension in a neighbourhood of a single point  $g = (0, 1)$ .

There exist a smooth geodesic (length minimizing curve)  $\gamma$  joining  $e = (0, 0)$  and  $g$ . We use length as a parametrization of  $\gamma$ , so  $\gamma(d(g)) = g$ . For  $s < s_0 = d(g)$  we have  $d(\gamma(s)) = s$ .

Let  $\gamma(s) = (\gamma_x(s), \gamma_z(s))$ . Since square of Euclidean distance is smooth  $|\gamma_x|^2$  is smooth. We can write  $|\gamma_x|^2(s) = (s - s_0)^2 \rho(s)$  where  $\rho$  is smooth and  $\rho(s_0) = 1$ , so  $|\gamma_x|^2(s)$  has a square root  $\phi(s) = (s_0 - s)\rho^{1/2}(s)$  which is smooth for  $s$  close to  $s_0$ . Since both  $\phi$  and  $|\gamma_x|$  are positive square roots of  $|\gamma_x|^2$  for  $s_0 - \epsilon < s < s_0$  we have

$$|\gamma_x(s)| = \phi(s)$$

for  $s_0 - \epsilon < s \leq s_0$ . Put

$$\eta(s, t) = (t\phi(s), t^2\gamma_z(s)).$$

Since  $\gamma$  is admissible  $|\gamma_z|'(s_0) = 0$  so the Jacobi matrix at  $(s, t) = (s_0, 1)$  is

$$\begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$$

and by the inverse function theorem  $\eta$  is invertible in a neighbourhood of  $(s_0, 1)$ . So, there exist  $f_1, f_2$  such that

$$(r, p) = \eta(f_1(r, p), f_2(r, p)).$$

We claim that  $\psi(r, p) = f_1(r, p)f_2(r, p)$  give us extension of  $\psi$  to a neighbourhood of  $g$ . Consider  $(x, z)$  close to  $g$ . Let  $(s, t) = (f_1(|x|, z), f_2(|x|, z))$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} |x| &= t\phi(s) = t|\gamma_x(s)| = |(\delta_t \gamma(s))_x|, \\ z &= t^2\gamma_z(s) = (\delta_t \gamma(s))_z \end{aligned}$$

so

$$d((x, z)) = d(\delta_t \gamma(s)) = td(\gamma(s)) = ts = f_1(r, z)f_2(r, z) = \psi(r, z).$$

Now it remains to find sign  $(\partial_r \psi)(0, z)$ . Form equality  $(r, p) = \eta(f_1(r, p), f_2(r, p))$  we see  $I = \eta' \cdot f'$ . We substitute  $(r, p) = (0, 1)$  and note that this corresponds to  $(s_0, 1)$ . So

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} \partial_r f \\ \partial_p f \end{pmatrix}$$

and using first row we get  $1 = -(\partial_r f_1)(0, 1)$ ,  $0 = -(\partial_r f_2)(0, 1)$  so

$$(\partial_r \psi)(0, 1) = (\partial_r f_1)(0, 1)f_2(0, 1) + f_1(0, 1)(\partial_r f_2)(0, 1) = (\partial_r f_1)(0, 1) = -1$$

□

**Theorem 6.1** *If  $N$  is an  $H$ -type group, then there is  $K$  such that if  $d(g) \geq 1$ , then*

$$\Delta d \leq K$$

where  $\Delta$  is understood in the sense of distributions.

*Proof:* Due to homogeneity, it is enough to prove the inequality only for  $g$  with  $d(g) = 1$  (more precisely, in a small neighbourhood of each such  $g$ ). Namely, if  $s = d(g) > 1$  then

$$\Delta d(g) = s^{-2} \Delta d(\delta(s)g) = s^{-1} \Delta d(g).$$

Next,  $d((x, z))$  is smooth when  $x \neq 0$ , so it is enough to prove the inequality in a small neighbourhood of  $(0, z_0)$  where  $z_0 > 0$  is chosen so that  $d((0, z_0)) = 1$ .

Below we give computation on Heisenberg group:

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{x_i} d((x, z)) &= \partial_{x_i} \psi(|x|, z) = \frac{x_i}{|x|} \partial_r \psi(|x|, z), \\ \partial_{x_i}^2 d((x, z)) &= \partial_{x_i} \left( \frac{x_i}{|x|} \partial_r \psi(|x|, z) \right) \\ &= \frac{x_i^2}{|x|^2} \partial_r^2 \psi(|x|, z) + \left( \frac{1}{|x|} \partial_r \psi(|x|, z) - \frac{x_i^2}{|x|^3} \partial_r \psi(|x|, z) \right), \\ \sum_{i=1}^{2n} \partial_{x_i}^2 d((x, z)) &= \frac{2n-1}{|x|} \partial_r \psi(|x|, z) + \partial_r^2 \psi(|x|, z), \end{aligned}$$

$$(x_{i+n}\partial_{x_i} - x_i\partial_{x_{i+n}})d((x, z)) = \left(\frac{x_{i+n}x_i}{|x|} - \frac{x_ix_{i+n}}{|x|}\right)\partial_r\psi(|x|, z) = 0,$$

$$\Delta d((x, z)) = \frac{2n-1}{|x|}\partial_r\psi(|x|, z) + \partial_r^2\psi(|x|, z) + \frac{|x|^2}{4}\partial_z^2\psi(|x|, z).$$

Since  $\psi$  is smooth the second term and third term is bounded in a neighbourhood of  $(0, z_0)$ . Since  $\partial_r\psi(0, z_0) < 0$  the first term is unbounded, but negative in a neighbourhood of  $(0, z_0)$ , which gives the claim on Heisenberg group.

On general H-type groups instead of  $x_{i+n}\partial_{x_i} - x_i\partial_{x_{i+n}}$  one must handle the  $J_{\alpha,i}$  term. However, since  $J_{\alpha,i}$  generates rotations in  $v$  space and  $d$  is rotationally invariant again  $J_{\alpha,i}d = 0$ .  $\square$

## 6.1 Counterexample for homogeneous norm

On stratified groups  $N$  one may introduce a homogeneous norm, that is a continuous function  $\phi : N \mapsto [0, \infty)$  such that  $\phi(e) = 0$ ,  $\phi(x) > 0$  for  $x \neq e$  and  $\phi(\delta_s(x)) = s\phi(x)$  for  $s > 0$ . Homogeneous norms are equivalent to each other, if  $\phi_1$  and  $\phi_2$  are two homogeneous norms, then there is  $C$  such that

$$C^{-1}\phi_1 \leq \phi_2 \leq C\phi_1.$$

The optimal control distance  $d$  gives one example of homogeneous norm, but there are others. In particular, it is possible to choose homogeneous norm so that it is smooth for  $x \neq e$  (we will call such homogeneous norm *smooth*). Smooth homogeneous norms are convenient in many situations. For smooth homogeneous norm  $\phi$  the condition  $(\Delta\phi)(x) \leq K$  for  $\phi(x) \geq 1$  is automatically satisfied. However, we are going to prove that for such norm  $|\nabla\phi|(x) = 0$  for some  $x \neq e$ , and consequently log-Sobolev inequality like the one for optimal control distance can not hold.

**Theorem 6.2** *Let  $N$  be a stratified group, and  $\phi$  be a smooth homogeneous norm on  $N$ . There exists  $x \neq e$  such that  $|\nabla\phi|(x) = 0$ .*

*Proof:* Let  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  be a basis of  $\mathfrak{n}_1$ . We claim that for  $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n - \{0\}$ ,

$$\sum a_i(X_i\phi)(\exp(\sum a_i X_i)) > 0. \quad (72)$$

Namely,  $\exp(t \sum a_i X_i)$  is a one parameter subgroup of  $N$ , so

$$\partial_t(\phi(\exp(t \sum a_i X_i))) = \sum a_i(X_i\phi)(\exp(t \sum a_i X_i))$$

However, by homogeneity

$$\partial_t(\phi(\exp(t \sum a_i X_i))) = \partial_t(t\phi(\exp(\sum a_i X_i))) = \phi(\exp(\sum a_i X_i)) > 0$$

so (72) holds.

Using the  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  basis we identify  $\mathbf{n}_1$  with  $R^n$ . This identification gives us scalar product on  $\mathbf{n}_1$ . We extend this scalar product to a scalar product on  $\mathbf{n}$  such that  $\mathbf{n}_i$  is orthogonal to  $\mathbf{n}_j$  for  $i \neq j$ .

Let  $S$  ( $\tilde{S}$ ) be the unit sphere in  $\mathbf{n}_1$  (in  $\mathbf{n}$  respectively). Define mapping  $\eta : S \mapsto S$  by the formula  $\eta(x) = \frac{(\nabla\phi)(\exp(x))}{|\nabla\phi(\exp(x))|}$  (note that we use identification  $\mathbf{n}_1 = R^n$  here). By (72) on  $S$   $|\nabla\phi|(\exp(x)) > 0$  so  $\eta$  is well defined. Also,  $\eta$  is homotopic with identity. Namely put  $\chi(\sum a_i X_i) = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$ . If  $f_t$  is defined by the formula  $f_t(x) = t\eta(x) + (1-t)\chi$ , then for  $x = \sum a_i X_i$  we have  $\langle f_t(x), x \rangle > 0$ , so  $f_t$  takes values in  $R^n - \{0\}$ . Consequently  $g_t(x) = \frac{f_t(x)}{|f_t(x)|}$  gives homotopy of mappings from  $S$  to  $S$ .

If  $(\nabla\phi)(\exp(x)) \neq 0$  on  $\tilde{S}$ , then  $\eta$  is homotopic to a constant. Namely,  $\tilde{S}$  contains a homeomorphic copy of  $n+1$  dimensional disc  $D$  having  $S$  as a boundary and  $\frac{(\nabla\phi) \circ \exp}{|(\nabla\phi) \circ \exp|}$  gives required homotopy. However, it is well known that identity of the sphere is not homotopic to a constant – so we reach contradiction with assumption that  $(\nabla\phi)(\exp(x)) \neq 0$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 6.3** *If  $f$  is smooth function on a stratified group  $N$ ,  $d$  is optimal control metric on  $N$ ,  $x_0 \in N$  is fixed then*

$$|f(x) - f(x_0)| \leq O(d(x, x_0)).$$

*If additionally  $(\nabla f)(x_0) = 0$ , then*

$$|f(x) - f(x_0)| \leq O(d^2(x, x_0)).$$

*Proof:* Let  $\gamma : [0, 1] \mapsto N$  be an admissible curve joining  $x_0$  and  $x$ . We have  $\gamma'(s) = \sum a_i(s)X_i(\gamma(s))$ , so

$$\begin{aligned} |f(x) - f(x_0)| &= \int_0^1 |(f \circ \gamma)'| = \int_0^1 \left| \sum a_i(s)(X_i f) \circ \gamma \right| \\ &\leq \int_0^1 |\gamma'| |(\nabla f) \circ \gamma| \leq |\gamma| \sup_{s \in [0, 1]} |(\nabla f) \circ \gamma(s)|. \end{aligned}$$

Put  $r = d(x, x_0)$ . If  $|\gamma| \leq r + \varepsilon$ , then  $\gamma(s) \in B(x, r + \varepsilon)$  and

$$|f(x) - f(x_0)| \leq (r + \varepsilon) \sup_{y \in B(x, r + \varepsilon)} |(\nabla f)(y)|.$$

Taking  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$  we get

$$|f(x) - f(x_0)| \leq r \sup_{y \in B(x,r)} |(\nabla f)(y)|.$$

Since  $f$  is smooth the supremum is finite which gives the first claim of the lemma. If  $(\nabla f)(x_0) = 0$ , then we can apply the first part to  $X_i f$  and get

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{y \in B(x,r)} |(\nabla f)(y)| &\leq Cr \sup_{y \in B(x,r)} |(\nabla \nabla f)(y)|, \\ |f(x) - f(x_0)| &\leq Cr^2 \sup_{y \in B(x,r)} |(\nabla \nabla f)(y)| \end{aligned}$$

which gives the second claim.  $\square$

**Theorem 6.3** *Let  $N$  be a stratified group and  $\phi$  be a smooth homogeneous norm on  $N$ . For  $\beta > 0$ ,  $p \geq 1$  put  $\mu_{\beta,p} = \exp(-\beta\phi^p)/Zd\lambda$ , where  $Z$  is a normalizing factor such that  $\mu_{\beta,p}$  is a probability measure. The measure  $\mu_{\beta,p}$  satisfies no  $LS_q$  inequality with  $q \in (1, 2]$ .*

*Proof.* Fix  $\beta > 0$ ,  $p \geq 1$ ,  $q \in (1, 2]$ . Suppose that  $\mu_{\beta,p}$  satisfies  $LS_q$ . We are going to show that this leads to contradiction. Let  $x_0$  be such that  $(\nabla \phi)(x_0) = 0$ . For  $t > 0$  put  $r = t^{(-p+1)/2}$  and  $f = \max(\min((2 - d(x, tx_0))/r, 1), 0)$ . By homogeneity and Lemma 6.3 we have  $\phi(x) - \phi(tx_0) \leq C_1 r^2$  on  $B(tx_0, 2r) = \{x : d(x, tx_0) \leq 2r\}$ , so  $\phi(x)^p - \phi(tx_0)^p \leq C_2$ . Consequently the exponential factor in  $\mu_{\beta,p}$  is comparable to a constant on support of  $f$ . Also  $|\nabla f| \leq r^{-1}$  and

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_{\beta,p}|f|^q &\approx r^Q \exp(-\beta\phi(tx_0)^p), \\ \log(\mu_{\beta,p}|f|^q) &\approx -t^p, \\ \mu_{\beta,p}|\nabla f| &\approx r^{-q}r^Q \exp(-\beta\phi(tx_0)^p), \\ \mu_{\beta,p}(|f|^q \log(|f|^q \mu_{\beta,p}|f|^q)) &\approx \int_{B(tx_0,r)} |f|^q t^p d\mu_{\beta,p} \approx t^p r^Q \exp(-\beta\phi(tx_0)^p). \end{aligned}$$

Using  $LS_q$  we get

$$t^p r^Q \exp(-\beta\phi(tx_0)^p) \leq Mr^{-q} r^Q \exp(-\beta\phi(tx_0)^p)$$

for large  $t$ , so

$$t^p \leq Mr^{-q} = Mt^{-q(-p+1)/2}$$

for large  $t$ , and  $p \leq q(p-1)/2$ . Since  $p \geq 1$  and  $q \leq 2$ , this implies  $p \leq p-1$  which is a contradiction.  $\square$

## 7 Log Sobolev Inequalities for Heat Kernel on the Heisenberg Group.

The heat kernels bound of the following form

$$\frac{1}{C|B(e, t^{1/2})|} e^{-\sigma d^2(x)t} \leq p(x, t) \leq \frac{C}{|B(e, t^{1/2})|} e^{-\frac{1}{\sigma} d^2(x)t}$$

were well known since a few decades, see e.g. [19], [45] and references therein. While the measures corresponding to the densities on the left and right have nice properties and in particular satisfy Poincaré and Logarithmic Sobolev inequality, this kind of sandwich bound does not imply similar properties for the measure corresponding to the density in the middle. Namely on a stratified groups one can write:

$$C^{-1} p(x, t/\sigma) \leq \frac{1}{|B(e, t^{1/2})|} \exp(-\phi^2(x)/t) \leq C p(x, \sigma t)$$

where  $C, \sigma \geq 1$  are constants and  $\phi$  is a smooth homogeneous norm. In Theorem 6.3 we proved that the density in the middle does not satisfy Logarithmic Sobolev inequality. We give another example in the Appendix I.

In [32] it was observed that asymptotics from [27] imply the following precise bound (extending [6]) on the heat kernel  $p$  (at time  $t = 1$ ) on the three-dimensional Heisenberg group  $H_1$ :

- (HK)

*There exists a constant  $L \in (0, \infty)$  such that for any  $x \equiv (\mathbf{x}, z) \in H_1$*

$$L^{-1} (1 + \|\mathbf{x}\|d(x))^{-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-\frac{d^2(x)}{4}} \leq p(x) \leq L (1 + \|\mathbf{x}\|d(x))^{-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-\frac{d^2(x)}{4}}$$

Let  $d\nu_0 \equiv \rho_0 d\lambda \equiv e^{-\frac{d^2(x)}{4}} d\lambda/Z$  and set  $d\mu = pd\lambda$ .

**Theorem 7.1** *There exist constants  $C_1, C_2, D_1, D_2 \in (0, \infty)$  such that*

$$\mu(f^2 d^2) \leq C_2 \mu|\nabla f|^2 + D_2 \mu f^2$$

and

$$\mu(|f|d) \leq C_1 \mu|\nabla f| + D_1 \mu|f|$$

*Proof* : Put  $W = \frac{-1}{2} \log(1 + \varepsilon \|x\|d)$  for some  $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$  to be chosen later. We have

$$\begin{aligned} |\nabla W|^2 &= \varepsilon^2 \frac{|d\nabla\|x\| + \|x\|\nabla d|^2}{(1 + \varepsilon\|x\|d)^2} \\ &\leq \varepsilon^2 \frac{d^2 + \|x\|^2}{(1 + \varepsilon\|x\|d)^2} \leq \varepsilon^2 d^2 + 1 \end{aligned}$$

so, if  $\varepsilon$  is small enough  $W$  satisfies assumptions of Theorem 2.5.

Now we observe that for  $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ , we have

$$(1 + \|x\|d)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \leq (1 + \varepsilon\|x\|d)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon}(1 + \|x\|d)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$$

This together with (HK) imply we can write  $\mu = \exp(-W - V)\mu_0$  and apply Theorem 2.5 to get the first claim. We get the second claim using Theorem 2.2.  $\square$

By similar arguments as in Section 3 we obtain the following result

**Theorem 7.2** *Let  $d\mu \equiv pd\lambda$ . There exist constants  $M \in (0, \infty)$  such that*

$$M\mu(f - \mu f)^2 \leq \mu|\nabla f|^2 \quad (73)$$

We are now ready to prove the Log-Sobolev inequality for the heat kernel measure.

**Theorem 7.3** *There exists a constant  $c \in (0, \infty)$  such that on Heisenberg group  $H_n$  we have*

$$\mu \left( f^2 \log \frac{f^2}{\mu f^2} \right) \leq c\mu|\nabla f|^2$$

**Remark:** The case of  $H_1$  is proven in [32]. While our proof uses heat kernel estimates from [32], in [32] large part is devoted to proof of estimate (1) for heat kernel measure on  $H_1$  – using our methods we could give different proof for this part, but instead we work directly with Log-Sobolev inequality.

*Proof:* First consider  $H_1$ . In the proof of Theorem 7.1 we wrote  $\mu = e^{-W-V}\mu_0$ . Consider now  $\mu_1 = e^{-W}\mu = e^{-U}d\lambda$ .  $\mu_1$  satisfies Log-Sobolev inequality as a consequence of Theorem 4.1. The result for  $H_1$  follows, since  $\mu$  is equivalent to  $\mu_1$ .

Now, write  $H_n = G/N$ , where  $G = \prod_{i=1}^n H_1$ ,  $N = \{((0, z_1), \dots, (0, z_n)) : \sum z_i = 0\}$  and let  $\pi$  be the canonical homomorfizm from  $G$  to  $H_n$ . Since

heat kernel on  $H_n$  is an image of product of heat kernels on  $G = \prod_{i=1}^n H_1$ , and since Log-Sobolev inequality holds on product, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_{H_n} \left( f^2 \log \frac{f^2}{\mu_{H_n} f^2} \right) &= \mu_G \left( (f \circ \pi)^2 \log \frac{(f \circ \pi)^2}{\mu_G (f \circ \pi)^2} \right) \\ &\leq c \mu_G |\nabla (f \circ \pi)|^2 = c \mu_G |(\nabla f) \circ \pi|^2 = c \mu_{H_n} |\nabla f|^2. \end{aligned}$$

□

## 8 Appendix: Examples of No Spectral Gap.

In case of measures on real line the following necessary and sufficient condition for Poincaré inequality to hold was provided by Muckenhoupt [36] ([2]) which in the special case of a measure  $d\mu \equiv \rho dx$  can be stated as follows: Given  $q \in [1, \infty)$  and  $\frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{p} = 1$

$$\exists C \in (0, \infty) \quad \mu |f - \mu f|^q \leq \mu |f'|^q \iff B_{\pm} \equiv \sup_{r \in \mathbb{R}^{\pm}} B_{\pm}(r) \quad (74)$$

where

$$B_{\pm}(r) \equiv (\mu([r, \pm\infty)))^{\frac{1}{q}} \cdot \left( \int_{[0, \pm r]} \rho^{-\frac{p}{q}} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} < \infty$$

Consider  $\rho \equiv e^{-U} dx/Z$  with  $U \equiv \beta |x|^p (1 + \varepsilon \cos x)$ , defined  $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$  and some  $\beta \in (0, \infty)$ . Then, with  $r = 2n\pi + \frac{\pi}{2}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} B_+(r) &> \left( \int_{2n\pi + \frac{4}{3}\pi}^{2n\pi + \frac{8}{3}\pi} e^{-\beta |x|^p (1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{2})} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \cdot \left( \int_{2n\pi - \frac{2}{3}\pi}^{2n\pi + \frac{2}{3}\pi} e^{+\frac{p}{q} \beta |x|^p (1 + \frac{\varepsilon}{2})} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &> e^{-\beta \frac{1}{q} |2n\pi + \frac{8}{3}\pi|^p (1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{2})} \left( \frac{4}{3}\pi \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \cdot e^{+\frac{1}{q} \beta |2n\pi - \frac{2}{3}\pi|^p (1 + \frac{\varepsilon}{2})} \left( \frac{4}{3}\pi \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &= \frac{4}{3}\pi \exp \left\{ \frac{\beta (2n\pi)^p}{q} \left[ \left| 1 - \frac{1}{3n} \right|^p (1 + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}) - \left| 1 + \frac{4}{3n} \right|^p (1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{2}) \right] \right\} \\ &\sim \frac{4}{3}\pi \exp \left\{ \frac{\beta (2n\pi)^p}{q} \left( \varepsilon + o\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) \right) \right\} \rightarrow \infty \quad as \quad n \rightarrow \infty \end{aligned}$$



Alternatively one can study lower bound asymptotic for  $B_{\pm}$  thinking of  $U = V + \delta V$  as a perturbation of  $V \equiv \beta|x|^p$  as follows. We notice that by Jensen inequality

$$B_+(r, U) \geq B_+(r, V) \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{q} \beta \frac{\int_r^{\infty} \delta V e^{-V} dx}{\int_r^{\infty} e^{-V} dx} + \frac{p}{q} \beta \varepsilon \frac{\int_0^r \delta V e^{+V} dx}{\int_0^r e^{+V} dx} \right\}$$

Hence one can use a procedure based essentially on integration by parts to study the integrals in the exponential. For example in case  $p = 2$  one gets the following an asymptotic lower bound

$$B_+(r, U) \geq B_+(r, V) \exp\{-\beta \varepsilon r \cos r + O(1)\}$$

We summarise our considerations in the above as follows

**Proposition 8.1** *Suppose  $p \geq 1$ . In any neighbourhood*

$$\frac{1}{C} e^{-(1+\delta)\beta|x|^p} \leq \rho \leq C e^{-\frac{1}{1+\delta}\beta|x|^p}$$

*with arbitrary  $\delta \in (0, 1)$  and some  $C \in (1, \infty)$ , of a measure  $d\mu_0 \equiv \frac{e^{-\beta|x|^p} dx}{Z}$  satisfying the Poincaré inequality there is a measure  $d\mu \equiv \rho dx$  for which this inequality fails.  $\circ$*

The example provided above illustrates similar phenomenon for other coercive inequalities.

## References

- [1] Aida S. and Stroock D.W., Moment Estimates Derived From Poincaré and Logarithmic Sobolev Inequalities, *Math. Res. Lett.* 1 (1994), 75–86.
- [2] Ané C. ; Blachère S. ; Chafai D. ; Fougères P. ; Gentil I. ; Malrieu F. ; Roberto C. and Scheffer G. , *Sur les inégalités de Sobolev logarithmiques.*, vol. 10 *Panoramas et Synthèses*. S.M.F., Paris, 2000.
- [3] Antonjuk A.Val.; Antonjuk A.Vict., Weighted Spectral Gap and Logarithmic Sobolev Inequalities and their Applications, *Acad. Sci. of Ukraine, Preprint93.33*, Kiev 1993.
- [4] Bakry D., L'hypercontractivité et son utilisation en théorie des semigroupes, in *Lectures on probability theory. École d'été de probabilités de St-Flour 1992*, volume 1581 of *Lecture Notes in Math.*, pages 1–114. Springer, Berlin, 1994.

- [5] Barthe F.; Cattiaux P. and Roberto C. , Interpolated inequalities between exponential and Gaussian, Orlicz hypercontractivity and isoperimetry, 22 (2006), 993–1067.
- [6] Beals R.; Gaveau B.; Greiner P.C., Hamiltonian-Jacobi Theory and Heat Kernel On Heisenberg Groups, J. Math. Pures Appl. 79 (2000), 633–689.
- [7] Bobkov, S. G.; Götze, F., Exponential integrability and transportation cost related to logarithmic Sobolev inequalities, J. Funct. Anal. 163 (1999), 1–28.
- [8] Bobkov S.; Ledoux M., From Brunn-Minkowski to Brascamp-Lieb and to logarithmic Sobolev inequalities, Geom. Funct. Anal. 10 (2000), 1028–1052.
- [9] Bobkov S.; Ledoux M., Weighted Poincaré-Type Inequalities For Cauchy and Other Convex Measures. Preprint 2008.
- [10] Bobkov S.; Zegarliński B., Entropy bounds and isoperimetry, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. 176 (2005), no. 829, x+69 pp.
- [11] Chow B.; Peng Lu; and Lei Ni, Hamilton’s Ricci Flow (Graduate Studies in Mathematics) AMS 2006
- [12] Bodineau Th.; Helffer B., On Log-Sobolev inequalities for unbounded spin systems, J. Funct. Anal. 166 (1999), 168–178.
- [13] Brascamp H.J.; Lieb E., On extensions of the Brunn-Minkowski and Prékopa-Leindler Theorems, including inequalities for Logconcave functions, and with an application to diffusion equation, Journal of Functional Analysis 22 (1976), 366–389.
- [14] Caratheodory C., Untersuchungen über die Grundlagen der Thermodynamik. (German) Math. Ann. 67 (1909), 355–386.
- [15] Carlen E. A.; Stroock D. W., An application of the Bakry-Émery criterion to infinite dimensional diffusions, in Séminaire de probabilités de Strasbourg, 20 (1986), 341–348.
- [16] Chow Wei-Liang, Über Systeme von linearen partiellen Differentialgleichungen erster Ordnung, Math. Ann. 117 (1939), 98–105.
- [17] Driver B.K.; Melcher T., Hypocoelliptic heat kernel inequalities on the Heisenberg group, J. Funct. Anal. 221 (2005), 340–365.

- [18] Gentil I.; Roberto, C., Spectral gaps for spin systems: some non-convex phase examples, *J. Func. Analysis*, 180 (2001), 66–84.
- [19] Davies E. B., Heat kernels and spectral theory, Cambridge University Press (1989).
- [20] Davies E.B.; Gross L.; Simon B., Hypercontractivity: a bibliographic review. Ideas and methods in quantum and statistical physics (Oslo, 1988), 370–389, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1992.
- [21] Franchi B.; Hajłasz P.; Koskela P., Definitions of Sobolev Classes on Metric Spaces, *Ann. Inst Fourier* 49 (1999), 1903–1924.
- [22] Guionnet A.; Zegarliński B., Lectures on logarithmic Sobolev inequalities. Séminaire de Probabilités, XXXVI, 1–134, Lecture Notes in Math., 1801, Springer, Berlin, 2003.
- [23] Hajłasz P. ; Koskela P., Sobolev Met Poincaré, *Memoirs A.M.S.* 145 (2000)
- [24] Hebey E., Sobolev spaces on Riemannian manifolds. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 1635. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1996.
- [25] Heinonen, J., Lectures on Analysis on Metric Spaces, Springer 2001.
- [26] Hörmander L., *Hypoelliptic second order differential equations*, *Acta. Math.* 119 (1967), 147–171.
- [27] Hueber H.; Müller D., Asymptotics for some Green kernels on the Heisenberg Group, *Math. Ann.* 283 (1989), 97–119.
- [28] Jerison D.; Sánchez-Calle A., Subelliptic, second order differential operators. Complex analysis, III (College Park, Md., 1985–86), 46–77, Lecture Notes in Math., 1277, Springer, Berlin, 1987.
- [29] Kaplan A., Fundamental solutions for a class of hypoelliptic PDE generated by composition of quadratic forms, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 258 (1980), 147–153.
- [30] Kasue A., A Laplacian comparison theorem and function theoretic properties of a complete Riemannian manifold, *Japan J. Math.* 8(1982), 309–341.

- [31] Latała R.; Oleszkiewicz K., Between Sobolev and Poincaré, Lecture Notes in Math. Vol.1745 (2000), 147–168.
- [32] Li H.-Q., Estimation optimale du gradient du semi-groupe de la chaleur sur le groupe de Heisenberg, J. Funct. Analysis 236 (2006), 369–394.
- [33] Ługiewicz P.; Zegarliński B., Coercive Inequalities for Hörmander Type Generators in Infinite Dimensions, J. Funct. Anal. 247 (2007), 438–476.
- [34] Mazya V., Sobolev Spaces, Springer, 1985.
- [35] Monti R., Some properties of Carnot-Carathéodory balls in the Heisenberg group. Rend. Mat. Acc. Lincei 11 (2000), 155–167.
- [36] Muckenhoupt B., Hardy’s inequality with weights, Studia Mathematica 44 (1972) 31-32, collection of articles honoring the completion by Antoni Zygmunt of 50 years of scientific activity.
- [37] Qing Ding, A new Laplacian comparison theorem and the estimate of eigenvalues, Chinese Ann. Math. Ser. B 15(1994), 35–42.
- [38] Rosen J., Sobolev inequalities for weight spaces and supercontractivity, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 222 (1976), 367–376.
- [39] Rothschild L. Preiss; Stein E. M., Hypoelliptic differential operators and nilpotent groups, Acta Math. 137 (1976), 247–320.
- [40] Saloff-Coste, L., Aspects of Sobolev-type inequalities, LMS Lecture Note Series, 289. Cambridge Univ. Press, 2002.
- [41] Simon B., Schrödinger semigroups, Bulletin (New Series) AMS Vol. 7 (1982) Number 3.
- [42] Stroock D. W., Zegarliński B., The logarithmic Sobolev inequality for continuous spin systems on a lattice, J. Func. Anal. **104**, 1992, 299–326.
- [43] Stroock D. W., Zegarliński B., The equivalence of the logarithmic Sobolev inequality and the Dobrushin-Shlosman mixing condition, Comm. Math. Phys. 144 (1992), no. 2, 303–323.
- [44] Roberto, C.; Zegarliński, B., Orlicz-Sobolev inequalities for sub-Gaussian measures and ergodicity of Markov semi-groups, J. Func. Anal. 243. (2006) 28–66.

- [45] Varopoulos N. Th.; Saloff-Coste L.; Coulhon T., *Analysis and Geometry on Groups*, Cambridge University Press 1992.
- [46] Wang F.-Y., Logarithmic Sobolev inequalities on noncompact Riemannian manifolds, *Probab. Theor. Relat. Fields* 109 (1997) 417–424.
- [47] Wang F.-Y., *Functional Inequalities, Markov Processes and Spectral Theory*, Science Press, Beijing/New York, 2005.
- [48] Yosida N., The log-Sobolev inequality for weakly coupled lattice fields, *Probab. Theor. Relat. Field* 115 (1999) 1–40.
- [49] Zegarliński B., Entropy bounds for Gibbs measures with non-Gaussian tails, *J. Func. Anal.* 187 (2001) 368–395.