Boundedness of  $L^1$  spectral multipliers for an exponential solvable Lie group

by Waldemar Hebisch<sup>1</sup>

## Introduction

Let M be a measure space and let L be a positive definite operator on  $L^2(M)$ . By the spectral theorem, for any bounded Borel measurable function  $m : [0, \infty) \mapsto \mathbb{C}$  the operator  $m(L)f = \int_{0}^{\infty} m(\lambda)dE(\lambda)f$  is bounded on  $L^2(M)$ .

We are interested in sufficient conditions on m for m(L) to be bounded on  $L^p(M)$ ,  $p \neq 2$ .

A very general theorem of Stein [18] and its strengthening by Cowling [8] give such conditions under the assumption that L generates a semigroup of contractions on  $L^1$ . Then, indeed, m(L) is bounded on  $L^p(M)$ , 1 , if <math>m is of the form  $m(\lambda) = \lambda \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda \xi} F(\xi) d\xi$ with  $F \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+)$ . The assumption on L is satisfied by many examples, but the condition on m implies that m is holomorphic in the half-plane  $|\arg(\lambda)| < \pi/2$ .

In the case of a Riemmanian manifold of exponential volume growth of riemannian balls (or a noncompact semisimple Lie group) stronger positive results have been proved. However, it is usually assumed that m is holomorphic in a parabolic region around the real half-axis and in some cases it is known that this assumption is necessary [2], [3], [7], [19].

In contrast to the above, what follows from a 1960 result by Hörmander [13] is that if  $M = \mathbb{R}^n$  and L is the (minus) Laplace operator on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , then a bound on only a finite number of derivatives of m suffices for m(L) to be bounded on  $L^p(M)$ , 1 . Thishas been generalized to <math>M being a group of polynomial growth [1], or compact manifolds [6], L being a Laplacian. In this work polynomial growth was crucial in the proof and the growth rate determined the required regularity of m.

It turns out however, that the relation of the volume growth of the balls to the regularity of m which guarantees boundedness of m(L) on  $L^p$  is not straightforward. For some nilpotent Lie groups regularity of m is related to the topological dimension of the group

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Praca wykonana w ramach projektu badawczego 2 P301 052 07.

rather than to its growth [11], [12], [17]. Also on groups NA in the Iwasawa decomposition of a semi-simple Lie group which are of exponential growth for some specific elliptic L, estimates on only a finite number of derivatives of m imply boundedness of m(L) on  $L^p$ ,  $p \neq 2$ , [9], [10]. As these groups and the distinguished Laplacean on them are pretty special, we would like to present another example, this time of a unimodular group of exponential growth and an elliptic Laplacian L on it for which  $m \in C_c^6(\mathbb{R}^+)$  implies that m(L) is bounded on all  $L^p$ ,  $1 \leq p < \infty$ .

Our methods allow to handle a bit more general groups but, as they are now, seem to be too crude to solve the problem in general. In fact, Christ and Müller [5] give an example of a solvable Lie group on which m must be holomorphic. There is a large disparity between our methods and the ones of [5]. This is the reason why some arguments are presented in a more general form then actually needed for the proof here. Also in Lemmas (1.1) and (1.2) we give sharp estimates for the constants involved, as those might be of interest of their own.

#### Preliminaries

Let G be equal to  $\mathbb{R}^3$ , the multiplication being given by the formula

$$(t_1, x_1, y_1)(t_2, x_2, y_2) = (t_1 + t_2, e^{t_2}x_1 + x_2, e^{-t_2}y_1 + y_2).$$

We consider left invariant vector fields X, Y, T

$$X = \partial_x, \qquad Y = \partial_y, \qquad T = \partial_t + x\partial_x - y\partial_y.$$

The corresponding right invariant vector fields  $\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}, \tilde{T}$  are

$$\tilde{X} = e^t \partial_x, \qquad \qquad \tilde{Y} = e^{-t} \partial_u, \qquad \qquad \tilde{T} = \partial_t.$$

Let

$$L = X^2 + Y^2 + T^2, \qquad \qquad \tilde{L} = \tilde{X}^2 + \tilde{Y}^2 + \tilde{T}^2$$

and let  $p_t$  be the convolution kernel of  $\exp(tL)$ :

 $\exp(tL)f = f * p_t.$ 

We need the formula

$$\exp(t\tilde{L})f = p_t * f.$$

This formula is valid for all sublaplaceans on Lie groups. For the proof one may consider the distribution  $K_L$  supported at identity such that

$$Lf = f * K_L.$$

Then

$$Lf = K_L * f$$

and

$$\partial_t (f * p_t) = \partial_t \exp(tL) f = L \exp(tL) f = \exp(tL) L f = f * K_L * p_t = f * (\tilde{L}p_t).$$

So

 $\partial_t p_t = \tilde{L} p_t$ 

and

$$\partial_t (p_t * f) = (\partial_t p_t) * f = (\tilde{L}p_t) * f = \tilde{L}(p_t * f)$$

As this equation has a unique solution for f in the domain of  $\tilde{L}$ , we have  $p_t * f = \exp(t\tilde{L})f$ .

### Schrödinger operators

As we shall see toward the end of the paper, our considerations here cannot be restricted to sublaplaceans. We have to consider also Schrödinger operators that is operators of the form

$$H = \sum U_j^* U_j$$

where  $U_j = X_j + iV_j$ ,  $X_j$  are vector fields and  $V_j$  are locally square integrable real functions. Our operators  $U_j$  are closed operators with a common core  $C_c^{\infty}(G)$ . Consequently, by the von Neumann theorem (cf. for example [20] Theorem 5.39) H is selfadjoint.

From now on we will assume that  $X_j$  generate G. For the proof of main theorem of the paper we assume even more. Then it is assumed that  $X_j$  form a linear basis of the Lie algebra of G. Let d(x) be the optimal control distance from  $x \in G$  to e associated to the  $X_j$ 's. (1.1). Lemma. For every f in  $C_c^{\infty}(G)$  and  $\gamma > 0$  we have:

$$\gamma |\Im(Hf, e^{2sd}f)| \leq \Re(Hf, e^{2sd}f) + s^2(1+\gamma)^2 \|e^{sd}f\|^2.$$

*Proof:* We have

$$\begin{aligned} (U_j^*U_jf, e^{2sd}f) &= (U_jf, U_j(e^{2sd}f)) = (U_jf, e^{2sd}U_jf) + (U_jf, 2sX_j(d)e^{2sd}f) = \\ \|e^{sd}U_jf\|^2 + (U_jf, 2sX_j(d)e^{2sd}f) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} |((U_j^*U_jf, e^{2sd}f) - \|e^{sd}U_jf\|^2| &= |(U_jf, 2sX_j(d)e^{2sd}f)| \le 2s\|e^{sd}U_jf\|\|X_j(d)e^{sd}f\| \\ &\le \alpha \|e^{sd}U_jf\|^2 + s^2/\alpha \|X_j(d)e^{sd}f\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Next, by the inequality  $\sum |X_j d(x)|^2 \le 1$ ,

$$\sum \|(X_j d) e^{sd} f\|^2 \le \|e^{sd} f\|^2$$

 $\mathbf{SO}$ 

$$\Re(Hf, e^{2sd}f) + s^2/\alpha \|e^{sd}f\|^2 \ge (1-\alpha) \sum \|e^{sd}U_jf\|^2$$

and

$$|\Im(Hf, e^{2sd}f)| \le \alpha \sum \|e^{sd}U_jf\|^2 + s^2/\alpha \|e^{sd}f\|^2.$$

Putting  $\alpha = (1 + \gamma)^{-1}$  we have:

$$\begin{split} \gamma |\Im(Hf, e^{2sd}f)| &\leq \gamma/(1+\gamma) \sum \|e^{sd}U_jf\|^2 + \gamma(1+\gamma)s^2 \|e^{sd}f\|^2 \\ &= (1-\alpha) \sum \|e^{sd}U_jf\|^2 + \gamma(1+\gamma)s^2 \|e^{sd}f\|^2 \\ &\leq \Re(Hf, e^{2sd}f) + ((1+\gamma) + \gamma(1+\gamma))s^2 \|e^{sd}f\|^2 \end{split}$$

which is our conclusion.

For a real s let

$$(f,g)_s = \int_G f(x)\bar{g}(x)e^{2sd(x)}dx$$

be the inner product in  $L^2(e^{2sd})$ . We write  $||f||_s$  for the corresponding norm.

(1.2). Lemma. Let H and d be as above. For s negative (or positive) the semigroup  $e^{-zH}$ ,  $\Re z > 0$  extends (restricts) to a holomorphic semigroup on the weighted space  $L^2(e^{2sd})$ . Moreover,

$$\|e^{-zH}\|_{L^2(e^{2sd}),L^2(e^{2sd})} \le \exp(\Re z(1+|\frac{\Im z}{\Re z}|)^2 s^2).$$

*Proof:* This is a consequence of (1.1). Indeed, we rewrite (1.1) as

$$|\gamma|\Im(Hf, f)_s| \le \Re(Hf, f)_s + C||f||_s^2.$$

where  $C = s^2(1+\gamma)^2$ . In general f ranges over  $C_c^{\infty}(G)$ , but if  $s \leq 0$  we may extend the inequality above to the domain of H. To see this we write

$$\langle f,g \rangle_{C,s} = \frac{1}{2}(((H+CI)f,g)_s + (f,(H+CI)g)_s).$$

 $\langle f, g \rangle_{C,s}$  is a new scalar product on a dense subspace of  $L^2(e^{2sd})$  and our inequality extends to the domain of the corresponding quadratic form. From the proof of (1.1) we have

$$\langle f, f \rangle_{C,s} = \Re(Hf, f)_s + C \|f\|_s^2 \approx \sum \|e^{sd}U_j f\|^2 + C \|f\|_s^2$$

so  $\langle f, f \rangle_{C,s}$  is finite for f in the domain of H. We then have

$$\Re(z(H+CI)f,f) \ge 0$$

for f in the domain of H and z in the sector  $S_{\gamma} = \{z : |\Im z| \leq \gamma \Re z\}$ . This means that the operator A = -z(H + CI) is dissipative in the  $L^2(e^{2sd})$ -norm. Moreover,

$$e^{tA} = \lim_{n \to \infty} (I - \frac{t}{n}A)^{-n}.$$

On the right hand side we have a sequence of contractions on  $L^2(e^{2sd})$  which is convergent on functions from  $L^2$ , which is a dense subset of  $L^2(e^{2sd})$ , s < 0. Therefore  $e^{tA}$  extends to contractions on  $L^2(e^{sd})$ . This completes the proof of the lemma for negative s. For s > 0we obtain the lemma by duality. (1.3). Lemma. If t > 0 and  $f \in L^2$ 

$$|e^{-tH}f| \le \exp(-t\sum X_j^*X_j)|f|$$

almost everywhere.

**Remark** The Lemma is valid in general, but we will prove it only when  $\{\exp(tX_j) : t \in \mathbb{R}\}$  is unbounded. For example, if G is exponential. Then for every locally integrable function  $V_j$  there exists a Borel function  $F_j$  such that  $X_jF_j = V_j$ .

*Proof:* By the Trotter formula [15] it is enough to prove the lemma for a single U = X + iV. By assumption, there is a F such that XF = V. Then

$$\exp(-iF)X\exp(iF) = X + iV = U,$$
  $\exp(-iF)X^*\exp(iF) = X^* - iV = U^*$ 

and

$$\exp(-iF)X^*X\exp(iF) = U^*U$$

 $\mathbf{SO}$ 

$$\exp(-iF)\exp(-tX^*X)\exp(iF) = \exp(-tU^*U).$$

Since  $\exp(-iF)$  has absolute value 1 the Lemma follows.

In the sequel we will denote the kernel of the semigroup by  $e^{-zH}\delta_e$ , the second argument being replaced by e.

(1.4). Lemma. There is a constant C independent of  $V_j$  such that for all real l and s

$$\|e^{-(1+il)H}\delta_e\|_{L^2(e^{sd})} \le C\exp(C(1+l^2)s^2)$$

*Proof:* Note that (1.3) implies  $|e^{-(1/2)H}\delta_e| \leq \exp(-(1/2)\sum X_j^*X_j) = p_{1/2}$ . As for real z and  $p_z$  the estimate is known, the Lemma follows from (1.2).

# Main Theorems

Now we come back to our group G as defined in the preliminaries. Let d be (left) invariant riemannian metric on G. There is a constant C such that

$$B_r = \{(t, x, y) : d((t, x, y), 0) \le r\} \subset$$

$$\{(t, x, y) : |t| < r, |x| < C(e^r + 1), |y| < C(e^r + 1)\}.$$

Let a weight function w be defined as w(t, x, y) = |xy|. A straightforward calculation shows that for some C

$$\int\limits_{d(g,0) < r} (1+w(g))^{-1} dg \leq Cr^3$$

(1.5). **Theorem**. There exists C such that for every  $s \in \mathbb{R}$  we have

$$||p_{1+is}||_{L^1(G)} \le C(1+|s|^5).$$

(1.6). **Theorem**. For every compactly supported  $F \in C^6$  (or F in the Sobolev space  $H^{(\frac{11}{2}+\epsilon)}$ ) the operator F(-L) is bounded on  $L^1(G)$ 

Theorem (1.6) is a consequence of (1.5). Indeed, using the spectral theorem and the inversion formula for the Fourier transform we have

$$F(-L) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int \hat{f}(s) p_{1-is} ds,$$

where  $f(x) = F(x)e^x$ .  $F \in H^{(\frac{11}{2} + \epsilon)}$  implies that  $\int |\hat{f}(s)|(1 + |s|)^5 ds$  is convergent.

We are going to prove (1.5). From (1.4) (putting  $V_j = 0$ ) we know that

$$\int |p_{1+is}(g)|e^{d(g,e)}dg$$

$$\leq \left(\int |p_{1+is}(g)|^2 e^{(C+1)d(g,e)}dg\right)^{1/2} \left(\int e^{-2Cd(x,e)}dg\right)^{1/2}$$

$$\leq C \exp(Cs^2).$$

Consequently, if  $r = Cs^2$ 

$$\begin{split} \int |p_{1+is}(g)| dg &\leq \int_{d(g,0) < r} |p_{1+is}(g)| dg + \int_{d(g,0) \ge r} |p_{1+is}(g)| dg \\ &\leq \int_{d(g,0) < r} |p_{1+is}(g)(1+w(g))^{1/2}| (1+w(g))^{-1/2} dg + e^{-r} \int_{d(g,0) \ge r} |p_{1+is}(g)| e^{d(g,0)} dg \end{split}$$

$$\leq \|p_{1+is}(1+w)^{1/2}\|_{L^2} \|(1+w)^{-1/2}\|_{L^2(B_r)} + e^{-r} \int |p_{1+is}(g)| e^{d(g,0)} dg$$
  
 
$$\leq C(1+|s|^2)^{3/2} \|p_{1+is}(1+w)^{1/2}\|_{L^2} + e^{-Cs^2} Ce^{Cs^2}.$$

We are going to show that

(1.7) 
$$\|p_{1+is}(1+w)^{1/2}\|_{L^2}^2 \le C(1+|s|)^4$$

and

(1.8) 
$$|\partial_s||p_{1+is}w^{1/2}||_{L^2}^2| \le C(1+|s|)^3.$$

We note that  $w \leq Ce^{cd}$  for some C and c because  $w \leq (1+|x|)(1+|y|)$  and and  $(x, y, u) \rightarrow (1+|x|)(1+|y|)$  is submultiplicative, by [14]. Consequently, the left hand side of both (1.7) and (1.8) is finite, and (1.8) implies (1.7). To prove (1.8) we write

$$\begin{aligned} |\partial_s \| p_{1+is} w^{1/2} \|_{L^2}^2 | &= 2 |\Re \langle iL p_{1+is}, w p_{1+is} \rangle | = 2 |\Im (\langle X p_{1+is}, X (w p_{1+is}) \rangle + \langle Y p_{1+is}, Y (w p_{1+is}) \rangle + \langle T p_{1+is}, T (w p_{1+is}) \rangle )|. \end{aligned}$$

Since Tw = 0, we have

$$2|\Im(\langle Xp_{1+is}, X(wp_{1+is})\rangle + \langle Yp_{1+is}, Y(wp_{1+is})\rangle + \langle Tp_{1+is}, T(wp_{1+is})\rangle)| = 2|\Im(\langle Xp_{1+is}, (Xw)p_{1+is}\rangle + \langle Yp_{1+is}, (Yw)p_{1+is}\rangle)| \le 2(|\langle Xp_{1+is}, \operatorname{sgn}(x)|y|p_{1+is}\rangle| + |\langle Yp_{1+is}, \operatorname{sgn}(y)|x|p_{1+is}\rangle|).$$

We are going to estimate the second term, the argument for the first being similar. We write

$$|\langle Yp_{1+is}, \operatorname{sgn}(y)|x|p_{1+is}\rangle| \le ||x|^{1/2}p_{1+is}||_{L^2}||x|^{1/2}Yp_{1+is}||_{L^2}.$$

Now we note that

$$|||x|^{1/2} Y p_{1+is}||_{L^2} \le C(\sup_{|z-1|<1/2} |||x|^{1/2} p_{z+is}||_{L^2} + 1).$$

Indeed,

$$-\langle Lp_{1+is}, |x|p_{1+is}\rangle = ||x|^{1/2}Tp_{1+is}||_{L^2}^2 + ||x|^{1/2}Xp_{1+is}||_{L^2}^2 + ||x|^{1/2}Yp_{1+is}||_{L^2}^2 +$$

$$\langle Tp_{1+is}, |x|p_{1+is} \rangle + \langle Xp_{1+is}, \operatorname{sgn}(x)p_{1+is} \rangle \geq$$

$$\| |x|^{1/2} Yp_{1+is} \|_{L^{2}}^{2} + \| |x|^{1/2} Tp_{1+is} \|_{L^{2}}^{2} - \| |x|^{1/2} Tp_{1+is} \|_{L^{2}} \| |x|^{1/2} p_{1+is} \|_{L^{2}} -$$

$$\| Xp_{1+is} \|_{L^{2}} \| p_{1+is} \|_{L^{2}} \geq$$

$$\| |x|^{1/2} Yp_{1+is} \|_{L^{2}}^{2} - \frac{1}{2} \| |x|^{1/2} p_{1+is} \|_{L^{2}}^{2} - C$$

but since  $p_z$  depends analytically on z we have

$$|||x|^{1/2}Lp_{1+is}||_{L^2} = |||x|^{1/2}\partial_s p_{1+is}||_{L^2} \le 2 \sup_{|z-1|<1/2} |||x|^{1/2}p_{z+is}||_{L^2}.$$

As for the z's  $|z - 1| < \frac{1}{2}$  the estimate trivially reduces to the case z = 1, we consider only this case.

Thus we end up with the task to prove

$$||x|^{1/2} p_{1+is}||_{L^2}^2 \le C(1+|s|^3).$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} |\partial_s|||x|^{1/2}p_{1+is}||_{L^2}^2| &= |2\Re\langle i\tilde{L}p_{1+is}, |x|p_{1+is}\rangle| = \\ |2\Im\langle \tilde{X}^2p_{1+is}, |x|p_{1+is}\rangle| &= |2\Im\langle e^{2t}\partial_xp_{1+is}, \operatorname{sgn}(x)p_{1+is}\rangle| = \\ |2\langle e^{t3/2}\partial_xp_{1+is}, \operatorname{sgn}(x)e^{t/2}p_{1+is}\rangle| &\leq 2||e^{t/2}\tilde{X}p_{1+is}||_{L^2}||e^{t/2}p_{1+is}||_{L^2}. \end{aligned}$$

We are going to estimate the second factor, the estimate for the first is similar.

We decompose the left regular representation of G using the Fourier transform in x coordinate. Put

$$H_x = -\partial_t^2 - e^{-2t}\partial_y^2 + x^2 e^{2t}.$$

 $H_x$  is a Schrödinger operator on two dimensional solvable group  $G_0$ . We have

$$\|e^{t/2}p_{1+is}\|_{L^2}^2 = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \|e^{t/2}\exp(-(1+is)H_x)\delta_0\|_{L^2(G_0)}^2 dx = \int_{|x| < e^{-c|s|^2}} + \int_{e^{-c|s|^2} \le |x| < 1} + \int_{|x| \ge 1}$$

By (1.4)

$$||e^{t/2}\exp(-(1+is)H_x)\delta_0||_{L^2}^2 \le Ce^{Cs^2}$$

independently of  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ . Hence the first of the three integrals above is bounded by a constant provided that  $c \geq C$ .

Since  $\tilde{L}$  is elliptic on G we have

$$\|\tilde{X}^2 f\|_{L^2(G)} \le C(\|\tilde{L}f\|_{L^2(G)} + \|f\|_{L^2(G)}).$$

This inequality remains valid in every unitary representation, so

$$\|x^2 e^{2t} f\|_{L^2(G_0)} \le C(\|H_x f\|_{L^2(G_0)} + \|f\|_{L^2(G_0)})$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \|e^{t/2}f\|_{L^{2}(G_{0})} &\leq \|e^{2t}f\|_{L^{2}(G_{0})}^{1/4} \|f\|_{L^{2}(G_{0})}^{3/4} = |x|^{-1/2} \|x^{2}e^{2t}f\|_{L^{2}(G_{0})}^{1/4} \|f\|_{L^{2}(G_{0})}^{3/4} \leq \\ C|x|^{-1/2} (\|H_{x}f\|_{L^{2}(G_{0})} + \|f\|_{L^{2}(G_{0})})^{1/4} \|f\|_{L^{2}(G_{0})}^{3/4}. \end{aligned}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \|e^{t/2} \exp(-(1+is)H_x)\delta_0\|_{L^2(G_0)}^2 &\leq \\ C|x|^{-1}(\|H_x \exp(-(1+is)H_x)\delta_0\|_{L^2(G_0)} + \|\exp(-(1+is)H_x)\delta_0\|_{L^2(G_0)})^{1/2} \times \\ &\|\exp(-(1+is)H_x)\delta_0\|_{L^2(G_0)}^3 \leq \\ C|x|^{-1}(\|H_x \exp(-(1+is)H_x)\delta_0\|_{L^2(G_0)}^2 + \|\exp(-(1+is)H_x)\delta_0\|_{L^2(G_0)}^2) &= \\ C|x|^{-1}(\|H_x \exp(-H_x)\delta_0\|_{L^2(G_0)}^2 + \|\exp(-H_x)\delta_0\|_{L^2(G_0)}^2) \leq \\ C|x|^{-1}\|\exp(-(1/2)H_x)\delta_0\|_{L^2(G_0)}^2 \end{aligned}$$

so the second integral is bounded by  $2C \int_{\exp(-c|s|^2)}^{1} x^{-1} dx = C_1 |s|^2$ .

If  $|x| \ge 1$ , then

$$\int_{|x|\geq 1} \frac{1}{|x|} \|\exp(-(1/2)H_x)\delta_0\|_{L^2(G_0)}^2 \leq \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \|\exp(-(1/2)H_x)\delta_0\|_{L^2(G_0)}^2 = \|p_{1/2}\|_{L^2(G)}^2$$

so the third integral is bounded by a constant. Consequently

$$\|e^{t/2}p_{1+is}\|_{L^2}^2 \le C(1+|s|^2)$$

which ends the proof.

## References

- G. Alexpoulos, Spectral multipliers on Lie groups of polynomial growth, Proc. AMS 120 (1994), 973-979.
- [2] J. Ph. Anker, L<sup>p</sup> Fourier multipliers on Riemannian symmetric spaces of the non-compact type, Ann. of Math. 132 (1990), 597-628.
- [3] J. Ph. Anker, Sharp estimates for some functions of the Laplacian on noncompact symmetric spaces, Duke Math. J. 65 (1992), 257–297.
- [4] M. Christ, L<sup>p</sup> bound for spectral multiplier on nilpotent groups, TAMS 328 (1991), 73-81.
- [5] M. Christ, D. Müller, On  $L^p$  spectral multipliers for a solvable Lie group (preprint).
- [6] M. Christ, Ch. Sogge, The weak type  $L^1$  convergence of eigenfunction expansions for pseudodifferential operators, Invent. Math. 94 (1988), 421–453.
- J. L. Clerc, E. M. Stein, L<sup>p</sup> -multipliers for non-compact symmetric spaces, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA (1974), 3911–3912.
- [8] M. Cowling, Harmonic analysis on semigroups, Ann. of Math. 117 (1983), 267–283.
- M. Cowling, S. Giulini, A. Hulanicki, G. Mauceri, Spectral multipliers for a distinguished Laplacian on certain groups of exponential growth, *Studia Math.* 111 (1994), 103–121.
- [10] W. Hebisch, The subalgebra of  $L^1(AN)$  generated by the laplacean, Proc. AMS 117 (1993), 547–549.
- [11] W. Hebisch, Multiplier theorem on generalized Heisenberg groups, Coll. Math.
  65 (1993) 231–239.
- [12] W. Hebisch, J. Zienkiewicz, Multiplier theorem on generalized Heisenberg groups
   II, Coll. Math. 69 (1995) 29–36.
- [13] L. Hörmander, Estimates for translation invariant operators in L<sup>p</sup> spaces, Acta Math. 104 (1960), 93–140.

- [14] A. Hulanicki, Subalgebra of  $L_1(G)$  associated with Laplacian on a Lie group, Colloq. Math. 31 (1974), 259–287.
- [15] T. Kato, Trotter's formula for an arbitrary pair of self-adjoint contraction semigroups, in: I.Gohberg, M.Kac (editors), Topics in functional analysis, Academic Press, New York 1978, 185–195.
- [16] G. Mauceri, S. Meda, Vector-Valued Multipliers on Stratified Groups, Revista Math. Iberoamericana (6) 141-154.
- [17] D. Müller, E. M. Stein, On spectral multipliers for Heisenberg and related groups, J. de Math. Pure et Appl. 73(1994) 413-440.
- [18] E. M. Stein, Topics in harmonic analysis related to the Littlewood-Paley theory, Ann. of Math. Stud. 63, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton 1970.
- [19] M. Taylor, L<sup>p</sup> -Estimate on functions of the Laplace operator, Duke Math. J. 58 (1989), 773-793.
- [20] J. Weidmann, Linear operators in Hilbert spaces, Springer 1980.

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS

WROCŁAW UNIWERSITY

PL. GRUNWALDZKI 2/4, 50-384 WROCŁAW, POLAND

e-mail: hebisch@math.uni.wroc.pl

www: http://www.math.uni.wroc.pl/~hebisch/Title.html